PROCEEDINGS AT HEARING OF NOVEMBER 9, 2020

COMMISSIONER AUSTIN F. CULLEN

INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS				
Witness	Description	Page		
	Proceedings commenced at 9:30 a.m.	1		
Michael Hiller	Examination by Mr. McGowan	1		
(for the commission)	Proceedings adjourned at 11:00 a.m.	67		
	Proceedings reconvened at 11:14 a.m.	67		
Michael Hiller	Examination by Ms. Harlingten	67		
(for the commission)		98		
· · · ·	Examination by Mr. Gruber	110		
	Examination by Mr. McFee	113		
	Proceedings adjourned at 12:36 p.m.	132		
	Proceedings reconvened at 12:40 p.m.	132		
Michael Hiller	Examination by Ms. Mainville	133		
(for the commission)	•	144		
(Examination by Ms. Tweedie	160		
	Examination by Mr. Smart	163		
	Colloquy	166		
	Proceedings adjourned at 1:32 p.m. to November 10, 2020	167		

	INDEX OF EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION	
Letter	Description	Page

No exhibits for identification marked.

	INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
No.	Description	Page
166	Revised final affidavit #1 of Michael Hiller	7

167	Copy of Mike Hiller's notebook dated June 1, 2009, to June 16, 2010	89
168	Series of emails between Mike Hiller and Jim Wall dated August 18, 2014 (pages 106 to 108)	97

1 Michael Hiller (for the commission) Exam by Mr. McGowan 1 November 9, 2020 2 (Via Videoconference) 3 (PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED AT 9:30 A.M.) 4 THE REGISTRAR: Good morning. The hearing is now 5 resumed, Mr. Commissioner. 6 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Madam Registrar. Yes, Mr. McGowan. 7 8 MR. McGOWAN: Yes, the witness today will be 9 Mr. Hiller. 10 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. 11 MICHAEL HILLER, a 12 witness called for the 13 commission, sworn. 14 THE REGISTRAR: Please state your full name and spell 15 your first name and last name for the record. 16 THE WITNESS: My name is Michael Richard Charles Hiller, spelled M-i-c-h-a-e-l. Surname is 17 18 spelled H-i-l-l-e-r. 19 THE REGISTRAR: Thank you very much. 20 EXAMINATION BY MR. MCGOWAN: 21 Q Good morning, Mr. Hiller. 22 A Good morning. 23 Q Can you hear me okay? 24 Yes. А 25 If at any point that changes, please let us know 0

Micha	ael	Hil.	ler	(for	the	commission)
Exam	by	Mr.	McG	Gowan		

1		right away.
2	A	Okay.
3	Q	Sir, you were a member of the RCMP for some 28
4		and a half years?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	And during that tenure with the RCMP you spent a
7		significant portion of your career investigating
8		drug offences and organized crime?
9	A	Yes, I did.
10	Q	I understand you were assigned for a time to a
11		joint RCMP/Vancouver Police Department heroin
12		enforcement team.
13	A	Yes, for two years.
14	Q	And then subsequently were moved on to something
15		called a unit 1 team?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	What was unit 1 team?
18	A	It was later renamed as the Asian narcotic unit.
19		It was a part of the Vancouver drug section
20		where we targeted heroin importing and heroin
21		trafficking within North America. Later on
22		precursors, when precursors became prevalent, we
23		also investigated precursors coming from China.
24	Q	Okay. Was that predominantly a focus on
25		organized crime importing?

1 A Yes.

2	Q	I understand from 2001 to 2005 you were the
3		sergeant in charge of RCMP's Criminal
4		Intelligence Section with a focus on Asia.
5	A	With the focus of gathering information of Asian
6		organized crime and also initiating
7		investigations of organized crime.
8	Q	You ultimately retired from the RCMP in 2008?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	And joined BCLC as an investigator in February
11		of 2009?
12	A	Correct, yes.
13	Q	And your entire career with BCLC was as a casino
14		investigator; is that right?
15	А	The latter years I was jointly a casino
16		investigator and a lottery investigator. That
17		would have been probably 2017 until 2019.
18	Q	Okay. You started your career with a posting at
19		the River Rock Casino in 2009?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	And moved to Starlight in 2011?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	And then back to River Rock in September of
24		2014?
25	A	No. I moved back to River Rock in late

1		February probably March 1st, 2014, and I
2		remained there until September of 2014.
3	Q	Okay. Thank you. And you finished up your
4		career with a posting on the Island?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	And when you were in the Vancouver
7		transferred to the Vancouver Island facilities,
8		did you still have a lens into what was
9		happening in the Lower Mainland casinos?
10	A	Yes. Through the casino program that we use at
11		BCLC, through iTrak mainly, but also through
12		just contact with other investigators.
13	Q	Okay. And as an investigator assigned in
14		Courtenay, would you have cause or reason to be
15		looking into transactions that were occurring in
16		the Lower Mainland casinos?
17	A	Yes, I did. Especially in the first two years
18		when I was transferred to Courtenay. When the
19		reporting was got to an extent where they
20		needed more help in the Lower Mainland, I was
21		able to assist remotely from my location on the
22		Island.
23	Q	When you say "assist remotely," would that be by
24		reviewing reported transactions and compiling
25		reports and forwarding those on to the

1 appropriate recipients?

A Yes. Reviewing reports, reviewing the video
footage and then determining whether it was a
substantiated UFT, unusual financial
transaction, or an unsubstantiated UFT, and then
reporting accordingly.

In your role as a casino investigator at the 7 0 8 River Rock and Starlight, who did you report to? 9 Initially I reported to our manager, Doug А Morrison, and Assistant Manager Gord Friesen. 10 11 By 2011 I was reporting to -- and that's the 12 time I went to Starlight, I was reporting to 13 Gord Friesen as our manager and John Karlovcec 14 as our Assistant Manager.

Q If I wonder if you'd just take a moment and explain to the Commissioner your understanding of what your roles and responsibilities were as a casino investigator insofar as it related to matters that are under consideration in this commission.

A Yes. In relation to suspicious transactions,
which concerned the large amounts of cash
buy-ins at the casinos, the service provider
surveillance would make the observations,
usually the day before or the night before, and

1 then they would record their observations in an 2 iTrak report. When I came to work the next day, 3 whether it was after the weekend or the very 4 next day, I would review all the reports, and it 5 was my responsibility then to seek out further information if it was required and submit a --6 determine whether it was a suspicious 7 transaction or not, and if it was a suspicious 8 transaction, then I would send off a report. 9 10 Okay. And if you determined a transaction to be 0 11 suspicious and you've sent off a report, who 12 would you send it to? 13 FINTRAC is the initial centre. Then I would А 14 send the content of the circumstances to the 15 GPEB investigator that was assigned to -- in 16 this case when I first started, River Rock, and 17 I'd also send it to the local RCMP detachment. 18 There were contacts that we were supposed to 19 send it to, and I would send it to them. 20 Q Okay. Were there times when there were other 21 law enforcement recipients as well? 22 А Yes, later on. The recipients of the report 23 changed quite a bit. Later on it was the 24 Integrated Proceeds of Crime Unit and also at times it was called the Federal Serious and 25

1		Organized Crime Unit or something to that
2		nature.
3	Q	You speak and I'm going to just ask you, sir,
4		you have in an effort to prepare some of your
5		evidence and assist the commission, prepared an
6		affidavit where've you set out some of your
7		experiences and observations during your time
8		with BCLC; is that correct?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	And that affidavit is some 25 pages in length
11		with a number of exhibits appended to it?
12	А	Yes, it is.
13	MR.	McGOWAN: Mr. Commissioner, I wonder if that can
14		be the next exhibit, please.
15	THE	COMMISSIONER: Very well. That will be
16		exhibit 166, Madam Registrar.
17	THE	REGISTRAR: Exhibit 166.
18	THE	COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
19		EXHIBIT 166: Revised final affidavit #1 of
20		Michael Hiller
21	MR.	McGOWAN:
22	Q	And in your affidavit, sir, you speak
23		extensively about the preparation of iTrak
24		reports and Suspicious Transaction Reports. And
25		am I correct that many of these reports that you

- prepared related to large cash buy-ins at Lower Mainland casinos?
- 3 A Yes, they did.
- Q And I wonder if you could just take a moment and
 explain to the Commissioner what caused you as
 an investigator to identify a cash suspicion
 [sic] as suspicious as opposed to one that
 wasn't suspicious. I'm talking specifically of
 cash buy-ins.
- Yes. First off, the large quantity of \$20 bills 10 А 11 which were frequently involved in these large 12 cash transactions. But it didn't just be -- was 13 \$50 bills. It could be -- or \$20 bills. Ιt 14 could be \$50 bills and \$100 bills, but certainly 15 the large quantity of \$20 bills, they were 16 consistently bundled in a similar manner with elastic bands. There were other indicators such 17 18 as deliveries of such cash to the casino and/or 19 passing of such cash to the casino.

There are indicators such as a VIP player already playing with chips, losing all the chips, making a cellphone call and then another delivery of money occurred. There were some times when I knew from my video review that the VIP player was out of chips at the table, had

25

1 lost everything, met up with somebody in a 2 nearby washroom on the floor, reappeared at the 3 table and now had cash or chips to buy in again. 4 Circumstances where a VIP player would leave 5 the casino for a very short amount of time, get into a vehicle, drive a very short distance --6 7 and I should say prior to getting into the vehicle that player was without cash or chips, 8 9 had lost maybe in the casino, but after driving a short distance, maybe around the block or just 10 11 up the street, returned to the casino and now 12 had a bag of cash to buy in. Those are some of 13 the circumstances in which I would have 14 reported. 15 And with what degree of regularity were you Q 16 seeing these types of transactions that you've 17 just explained to the Commissioner? 18 Definitely several times within a week that I Α 19 would make a report of such a nature. 20 Okay. And I gather that over time the frequency Q 21 and amount of these buy-ins, there was some 22 development in that regard? 23 Α Yes, it increased especially during my return to 24 River Rock between March and September of 2014.

The number of such buy-ins and the frequency of

1 submitting Suspicious Transaction Reports 2 certainly increased. 3 0 I wonder if you can just explain to the 4 Commissioner your observations of -- in terms of 5 the value and frequency of large cash buy-ins, the development of that at both the River Rock 6 7 and Starlight that you observed. Yes. Initially when I arrived at River Rock in 8 А 9 2009 most of the reporting from my recollection was cash buy-ins approximately \$80,000 and 10 11 upwards. Sometimes it could be several hundred 12 thousand dollars by one player. 13 Later on there were some policies set where 14 the surveillance was reporting buy-ins of 15 \$50,000 and greater, and so of course that would 16 have increased the amount of reporting because 17 there's now more buy-ins at that level as well. 18 During my time at River Rock and at 19 Starlight Casinos the VIP rooms expanded 20 greatly, and the amount of cash coming in and 21 the amount of buy-ins by these VIP players 22 accelerated as a result. 23 Q By the time you got to 2014, what was a typical 24 high-limit cash buy-in that you were seeing?

What was the range of -- maybe the range --

25

1	A	I would say I remember now the reporting was
2		\$50,000 upwards, so the range would have been
3		\$50,000, but at times it wasn't unusual to see
4		150,000, maybe 200,000. Anything above \$200,000
5		in one particular buy-in was not as frequent,
6		but I did see them, of course. But the average
7		range was somewhere closer to 100,000.
8	Q	And you say in a single buy-in would you see
9		high-limit players buy in for that amount more
10		than one time in a single day?
11	A	I'm I was speaking cumulative buy-ins that
12		accumulated over the time that they were there.
13		Quite often they would come in with, yes,
14		\$100,000 now all in one particular buy-in. But
15		there were times when they bought in smaller
16		amounts and then lost and then either through a
17		delivery or some sort obtained more money. So
18		I'm talking about the series of buy-ins when I
19		talk about the average being around 100,000.
20		Quite often. I hate to use "average" because it
21		varied on every report.
22	Q	Yes. And if the average was around or
23		typical was around \$100,000, what would be
24		considered, say, in your time at Starlight and
25		your later time at River Rock, what would have

1 caught your attention as a particularly high 2 buy-in in cash?

A Anything over \$100,000 would catch my attention, but certainly one that I saw was \$460,000. That certainly grabbed my attention.

- 6 Q Okay. You mentioned that the cash that was 7 coming in was typically or often wrapped in 8 elastic bands. Did you have -- through your 9 experience in law enforcement, did you come to 10 understand how cash was typically packaged in 11 the drug trade as compared to how it is 12 typically packaged from a bank?
- 13 A Typically in the drug trade, yes, it is elastic 14 bands or something similar to that, but from a 15 bank it's wrapped in paper wrapping, bundles of 16 cash.
- You talked a little bit about your experience at 17 Q 18 River Rock and Starlight Casinos. When you were 19 a casino investigator during those years did you 20 also have a lens into other Lower Mainland 21 casinos and what was happening in those casinos 22 with respect to development of cash buy-ins? 23 А Yes, I often -- also worked at Edgewater when 24 people were away on vacation or, you know, and a 25 manpower increase was needed. It was usually

because somebody was away that I would then
 cover the Edgewater Casino. I also visited the
 Villa Casino and talked to investigators there,
 so I was aware of what was happening in what
 I'll call the major five casinos.

- 6 Q Okay. And I wonder if you could just take a 7 moment and explain to the Commissioner whether 8 they were all sort of developing in the same way 9 with respect to cash buy-ins or whether there 10 were outliers or those where the issue didn't 11 seem to be developing?
- 12 А Generally the Edgewater and the Villa were also 13 obtaining cash buy-ins similar to what I spoke 14 about, but I would say to a lesser degree. The 15 more poplar casino, the most poplar casino was 16 River Rock. The second most poplar casino for 17 these VIP players was Starlight Casino and then 18 the other ones fell somewhere below Starlight. 19 But yes, the reporting was similar. It seemed 20 like the bigger, higher-roller-type VIP players 21 were mainly at River Rock.
- 22 Q As you were observing these increasing-in-value 23 large cash transactions, often in \$20 bills, did 24 you form a view as to the likely source of the 25 funds being used to buy in?

1	A	Yes, I was concerned right from the beginning
2		when I started to observe this type of cash
3		coming in at the casino. I was concerned
4		organized crime was involved, and I remained
5		concerned about that throughout my time at BCLC.
6	Q	You say "concerned." What was your concern?
7	A	My concern was that we, as a provincial
8		organization, are obtaining money for revenue
9		purposes from organized crime.
10	Q	You were assigned when you joined BCLC to
11		into a role where you had some responsibility to
12		liaise with law enforcement; is that right?
13	A	Yes. That took place almost initially when I
14		started in February 2009.
15	Q	And did that interaction with law enforcement go
16		beyond the filing of or forwarding of
17		Suspicious Transaction Reports or the contents
18		of reports about activities that were taking
19		place at the casino?
20	A	Yes. Part of my role was to ensure that BCLC
21		and law enforcement were in continued contact
22		with each other, so there were times when I
23		would meet with law enforcement to enhance the
24		relationship. That happened quite often.
25		There were a lot of times when my contact

1		with law enforcement was to follow up on
2		something on their half on their behalf as a
3		request and but quite often my contact with
4		law enforcement was to speak about my concerns
5		with the bags of money coming into the casinos,
6		that sort of thing. But my overall role was to
7		maintain a continued contact with law
8		enforcement when and if we could help them or
9		they could help us.
10	Q	And did you remain in that liaison position
11		throughout your time in the Lower Mainland?
12	A	Yes, I did. And I should mention that there
13		were two of us assigned to that position in that
14		period.
15	Q	Thank you. Who was the other?
16	A	The other one was a BCLC investigator Duncan
17		Gray.
18	Q	Thank you. You talk in your affidavit about a
19		meeting you had at River Rock with the
20		Integrated Proceeds of Crime Unit in 2009 where
21		you put them in the surveillance room and, I
22		gather, showed them some of the capabilities of
23		casino surveillance.
24	A	Yes. I took them also on a tour of the VIP area
25		and also showed them how the iTrak reporting

- 1 system functions.
- 2 0 Did you during that meeting discuss with members of the IPOC Unit your concerns about the source 3 4 of cash coming into casino? Yes, I did. And I also offered that should they 5 А ever be able to do any surveillance or further 6 investigation, I was available to work whatever 7 shift would coincide with theirs. 8 9 To your understanding were you ever made aware Q of anything that came of that meeting or that 10 11 offer in terms of an investigation? 12 No, I was never asked to assist in any А 13 surveillance and I wasn't aware of any 14 surveillance that they conducted as a result. 15 Okay. And did you continue to provide Q 16 information and expressions of concern 17 throughout your time in the Lower Mainland in 18 your position as liaison with law enforcement? 19 Yes, I did. А 20 And in addition to IPOC, are there any other Q 21 units or detachments you provided the 22 information to? 23 А Certainly my former team on the criminal 24 intelligence section at Vancouver headquarters, 25 the Asian probe team. I certainly relayed my

1 concerns to them and had hoped that they could 2 assist; however, that didn't come about. Then I also mentioned the -- I think it's called -- it 3 4 was a name that I wasn't familiar with. The 5 name of a unit, federal serious crime in RCMP headquarters. I related that when I had 6 discussions with them as well. 7 You say in your affidavit, speaking about the 8 0 9 issue of communicating with patrons, that you 10 never personally interviewed a player about the source of money they brought into a casino. Was 11 12 that the result of a direction you were given by 13 somebody? 14 That was a result of my understanding when I А 15 initially became a casino investigator at River 16 Rock while talking with my fellow casino 17 investigators, there were two others that were 18 investigating jointly with me at River Rock at 19 that time, and it also became my understanding 20 when I spoke to the General Manager at River 21 Rock. His name was Rick Duff. He made it quite 22 clear that he didn't -- that we shouldn't have 23 this expectation of visiting -- or speaking to 24 VIP players. And I understood his point and 25 never did talk to them directly.

1	Q	Mr. Duff wasn't your superior. He was worked
2		for Great Canadian; correct?
3	A	He was the yes, he was the casino General
4		Manager for River Rock Casino.
5	Q	If somebody was coming into a casino during your
6		time as investigator with \$100,000 in \$20 bills
7		and you were concerned about the source of that,
8		wouldn't one logical step be to ask the patron
9		where the funds came from?
10	A	Well, that would certainly be a logical step,
11		but I must say that most times when these
12		buy-ins occurred, we were really not aware of it
13		until the next day when we read the report. But
14		even if we were on the floor and saw it, I
15		wouldn't approach them because I understood that
16		we were not to approach VIP players for that
17		purpose.
18	Q	When you were assigned to the River Rock and
19		Starlight Casinos or when you worked at other
20		casinos, you were stationed physically at the
21		casino; is that right?
22	A	Yes, that's correct. At each of the casinos we
23		had an office upstairs.

24 Q During your time as a BCLC investigator when you 25 were working in the Lower Mainland did you ever

1		or did you ever become aware of any BCLC
2		investigator or other employee giving a
3		direction to a service provider in respect of
4		whether they could or could not accept a
5		suspicious cash transaction?
6	A	There were conditions placed on players which
7		would have been an indirect direction to the
8		service provider that they cannot conduct
9		allow a buy-in to occur based on the conditions
10		that were placed. That occurred at probably
11		2014, 2015.
12	Q	Okay. Prior to that are you aware of any
13		intervention by BCLC to prevent any player from
14		buying in with cash, whether it be 20s or other
15		denominations?
16	А	No, I'm not aware of any prior to that. No.
17	Q	You talk in your affidavit about the expansion
18		of the VIP room at River Rock and the expansion
19		of the VIP program. I wonder if you could just
20		explain to the Commissioner any observations you
21		made about changes that followed that expansion.
22	А	Well, at River Rock the entire upper floor used
23		to have a subsequently substantially smaller
24		VIP room during the time I was there, or
25		sometime during the time I was at Starlight I

1 revisited River Rock and now the entire upper 2 floor had been converted to VIP rooms. Some of 3 them single rooms for players to play 4 individually or with their own group, and some 5 were expansive rooms where they had several tables. 6 7 Now, the size of the VIP room by then had 8 equalled the size of the slot floor and two VIP rooms on the lower floor. I don't know how many 9 thousands of square feet, but it was extensive. 10 11 Of course with the increased VIP rooms came 12 greater revenue and more play by VIP players. 13 You talk in your affidavit --Q 14 I should say -- I should mention that also at А 15 the time Starlight Casino did a remodelling and 16 expanded their VIP rooms as well. Okay. And did that sort of occasion similar 17 Q 18 developments in terms of the number of players 19 and quantity of cash being used to buy in? 20 А Yes. I believe cash revenue increased because 21 of increased buy-ins, yes. 22 In addition to the expansion of the physical lay Q 23 out of the VIP program, were there also bet 24 increases that occurred during your time? When I say "bet increases" I mean bet limit 25

1 increases. 2 Yes. The table wagering increased substantially Α in March of 2012 from -- I believe it was 3 4 45,000. Now the table limit became 90,000. That occurred at River Rock first at that time. 5 And I became aware of it, yes. 6 7 0 Okay. And what was your reaction when you learned of that bet increase? 8 My reaction -- personally I was stunned. I 9 А didn't believe that it had occurred. I was 10 11 being told that by a table manager at Starlight, 12 and I said that we, BCLC investigators, would 13 have been notified if that took place. But I 14 offered the table manager, I said well, I'm 15 going to check this out and get back to you. 16 And did your checking out confirm that the Q increase had in fact happened? 17 18 Yes. I immediately contacted Steve Beeksma, who А 19 was working at the River Rock Casino that day, 20 and he sent documentation that confirmed that 21 there was approval for the River Rock Casino to 22 increase the table wagering to 90,000. 23 0 And did a similar increase eventually happen at 24 Starlight? 25 Yes. And I -- yes, it did, but I don't recall А

1 what time frame that took.

2 You told us that you had concerns, told the Q 3 Commissioner you had concerns about the source 4 of these funds and were concerned that they may 5 be from organized crime. Did you have -- let me ask you this: when the players were coming in 6 with this cash, were they predominantly putting 7 that money at risk and often losing it? 8 9 Yes, I considered them to be legitimate VIP А 10 players that put the money at risk and quite often they lost everything. 11 12 Did you have a theory as to what was going on, Ο 13 what the potential involvement of organized 14 crime might have been? 15 My theory was that these VIP players were А Yes. 16 being provided this cash by organized crime and 17 they were simply being used as a vehicle to --18 for organized crime to get rid of this money 19 and -- through the money laundering process. 20 Did you have a theory as to how the repayment Q 21 was being made? 22 А I believed it was being made sometimes locally. 23 That would have happened, of course. But I also 24 believed that the higher-level VIP players that were borrowing hundreds of thousands of dollars 25

1		were repaying it to the organization in China.
2	Q	And did you come to this view in part based on
3		your experience with the RCMP, investigating
4	A	Partly. Partly as a result of that, yes.
5	Q	You did you when did you first come to
6		this theory as to how the cash might be involved
7		with organized crime and casinos? Do you
8		recall?
9	A	I think I believed it are we audio?
10		I believe I was already equipped with that
11		theory before I joined BCLC, and I believed it
12		right from the beginning.
13	Q	Okay. Did you communicate that theory to your
14		superiors?
15	A	Yes, I did. Several times we had monthly
16		meetings. They were well aware of my viewpoint.
17	Q	You talk about monthly meetings. These are
18		monthly investigator meetings in BCLC?
19	А	That's correct, yes.
20	Q	So once a month you and the other investigators
21		would get together to have a meeting, a
22		briefing; is that fair?
23	A	Yes. It was once a month, but I can't say it
24		consistently happened month after month.
25		Sometimes there were two or three months that we

would go without a meeting, but generally maybe
 eight times a year.

3 0 Okay. Who attended these meetings? 4 А Certainly the manager of casino investigations. 5 That would be Gord Friesen. In the earlier years the manager of casino investigations was 6 7 Doug Morrison. In later years after 2011 the Assistant Manager of Investigations would have 8 been John Karlovcec and he would have attended. 9 10 All BCLC investigators in the Lower Mainland 11 attended the meetings. Though the BCLC 12 investigators that were stationed, say, in 13 Kelowna or elsewhere in the province attended 14 the meetings remotely by way of telephone. And 15 at times the Vice President of BCLC security and surveillance would attend. And in that time, of 16 17 course, the Vice President changed -- throughout 18 my time at BCLC had changed to three different 19 VPs.

20 Q Okay. And would you at these -- did you at 21 these monthly investigator meetings raise 22 concerns about the source of the cash that was 23 coming into British Columbia casinos?

A Yes, I did.

25 Q With what regularity?

1	A	I would say with more regularity in the first
2		two years of my employment with BCLC. After
3		that there was no doubt that they knew my
4		viewpoint on it, and it was you know, I just
5		felt that I can't sound like a broken record and
6		repeat myself all the time, so I sort of laid
7		off in the later years.
8	Q	Did other BCLC investigators echo your concerns
9		at these meetings?
10	А	I'm sure some of them did. Not as frequently as
11		myself, but they certainly agreed with my
12		viewpoint. I don't recall ever meeting another
13		BCLC investigator that was dealing with these
14		Suspicious Transaction Reports that didn't agree
15		with my viewpoint.
16	Q	Did you explain to your superiors at these
17		meetings why you were concerned?
18	A	I don't know whether I said specifically why,
19		but I believed what I was saying was that I
20		don't believe we, as an organization, should be
21		taking money from organized crime.
22	Q	Did you explain during these meetings your
23		theory as to where the cash was coming from and
24		how it might be being repaid?
25	А	I don't I would have explained that or talked

1		about that to certain VIPs or but I don't
2		know that I specifically mentioned that. I
3		don't recall. I'm not saying I didn't; I just
4		don't recall now 12 years later.
5	Q	You said you would have explained it to certain
6		VIPs. Do you mean within
7	A	Sorry, sorry. Certain VPs of the BCLC.
8	Q	Sorry. Did
9	A	I've explained that to them, yes.
10	Q	Sorry. I think I just spoke over you as you
11		were giving your answer.
12	A	Yes, I apologize. I didn't mean VIPs; I meant
13		the Vice President of BCLC security and
14		surveillance.
15	Q	Okay. I'm going to ask you about some
16		individuals that I believe were your superiors
17		at BCLC, and I'm going to ask you if you
18		discussed with them your concerns and, if so,
19		what the reaction was.
20		I'll start first with Mr. Friesen. He was
21		a superior of yours for a period of time?
22	A	Yes, he was, and I would have discussed it with
23		him.
24	Q	Do you recall what his reaction was?
25	A	Well, he certainly listened. He didn't he

1		didn't say that anything to say that he
2		didn't believe it, but he didn't also say
3		anything affirmative in that regard. I believe
4		he listened. He and provided little comment
5		that I recall now.
6	Q	Okay. Mr. Karlovcec, did you express his
7		concerns with him?
8	A	With Mr. Karlovcec, he would have been present
9		during the same times, and again little comment.
10		I don't recall ever that they expressed an
11		opinion as anywhere near the opinion that I was
12		providing them.
13	Q	Okay. And Mr. Towns, did you express your
14		concerns to him at any point?
15	A	Yes, I did.
16	Q	And what was his reaction?
17	A	You know, his reaction to me was that VIP
18		players are under video surveillance while
19		they're in the casino; they quite often lose all
20		their money when they're playing; how is that
21		money laundering; what person would put all the
22		money at risk and lose it all; how does that
23		established money laundering? And I would reply
24		to him that it's not the VIP player that's so
25		much the money launderer. The VIP player is a

1 vehicle for money laundering.

2 I believed the VIP players that were 3 bringing in this sort of money were legitimate 4 VIP players with substantial occupations or 5 business occupations that enabled them to spend this type of money, but I believed they did not 6 have the access to this type of money in Canada. 7 They were mainly Chinese nationals and that they 8 9 were obtaining this money from organized crime. So they were, in essence, a vehicle of the money 10 11 laundering process.

12 Q And what was Mr. Towns' reaction to you13 responding with that theory?

14 Well -- and I agree with Mr. Towns' response to Α 15 me. He said there's -- you know, those are 16 suspicions, but we have not proven that to be 17 the case. And he emphasized with me that it's 18 my job and other BCLC casino investigators' job 19 to observe and report; we have no authority to 20 investigate beyond the casino and without any 21 knowledge of that, we can't start refusing these 22 funds to the casino without really proving that 23 it's coming from organized crime.

I remember on one occasion I said that well, we'll end the conversation there with 1 agreeing to disagree.

2	Q	Was that a common sentiment that you heard from
3		your superiors that it's unlikely to be money
4		laundering because the players are putting the
5		money at risk and losing it?
6	A	I did hear that as well. Not so much hear that
7		but read that in a document produced by the next
8		Vice President, Brad Desmarais.
9	Q	Okay. Yes. I'll come to that document in just
10		a minute.
11		Did you express your concerns of the type
12		you've communicated to the Commissioner to
13		Mr. Graydon?
14	A	No, I did not ever express my concerns directly
15		to Mr. Graydon. I had a conversation with the
16		Vice President Terry Towns as a result of a
17		presentation Mr. Graydon made, but I never spoke
18		directly to Mr. Graydon.
19	Q	Okay. I'll come to that presentation in a
20		moment. Was Mr. Alderson your superior for a
21		time?
22	A	No, he was never my superior. He was a fellow
23		casino investigator for a short time while I was
24		at River Rock. I believe I replaced him when I
25		returned to River Rock.

Michael Hiller (for the commission) Exam by Mr. McGowan

1	Q	Did you discuss your concerns with him?
2	A	Yes, I think Mr. Alderson was on the had the
3		same idea as I did while he was a casino
4		investigator.
5	Q	Mr. Desmarais, did you he was a Vice
6		President for a time?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Did you discuss your concerns with him? I know
9		you've made some comments about some articles
10		that were written, but did you have verbal
11		communication with him where you expressed your
12		concerns?
13	А	Yes, I did. Maybe not in the same to the
14		same extent that I expressed by concerns with
15		Mr. Towns, but I certainly did express my
16		concerns and he was well aware of my concerns in
17		that regard.
18	Q	And what was his response to you?
19	A	I think the conversation ended with, please send
20		me a report and I'll review it. And it was a
21		report that I had referred to while I was
22		talking to him about my concerns.
23	Q	Are you thinking of a specific meeting you had
24		with Mr. Desmarais?
25	A	It was a private meeting in March of 2015 prior

1 to the presentations of that particular 2 conference. We were in Kamloops at the time. 3 0 Okay. And what do you recall of that meeting? 4 А Well, I had expressed concerns that during the 5 previous day's presentations our CEO, Jim Lightbody, had a presentation to all of us at 6 the two-day conference, and he went on quite a 7 8 bit about the increased revenues from table games during 2014 and how that was good for our 9 business, and it was -- you know, he was quite 10 11 happy with those results. But he didn't mention 12 the increased Suspicious Transaction Reports 13 that we were submitting during that same period 14 in 2014 and the increased cash that was coming 15 into -- specifically I was working at the River Rock Casino at that time. He didn't mention 16 17 that. In fact, there was something in his presentation that -- I would have to refer to 18 19 his notes, the speaker's notes now, but there 20 was something in his presentation that upset me. 21 That's why I talked to Brad Desmarais the next 22 day. 23 Ο

Q Okay. And what did Mr. Desmarais say in
response to your concerns about the
presentation?

1 I don't think we really -- he really said А 2 anything in response to the presentation. That 3 was my initial reason for talking to him 4 about -- about talking to him, but we talked 5 about then my belief that, you know, the bags of money are coming in and it's my belief it's 6 organized crime. That's how we got talking 7 8 about the report and him asking me to send it to 9 him. 10 Q Okay. What was he -- what was his response to 11 your expression of concerns about the bags of 12 cash that were coming in? 13 I believe he listened, of course. He listened. А 14 I don't recall any specific response to that. 15 Like I say, the conversation ended with, please 16 send me that report. 17 Q Okay. You indicated some concern about a 18 presentation made by Mr. Lightbody. Did you 19 have a conversation with Mr. Lightbody or 20 correspondence with Mr. Lightbody where you 21 expressed your concerns about the source of the 22 cash? 23 Α No, I did not. 24 Did you ever --Q 25 А I believe in going up the chain and going --

1 speaking to people within -- you know, within 2 the chain of command. I don't necessarily go 3 right to the top. 4 Q Did you have conversations or correspondence 5 with Mr. Kroeker about your concerns? To a lesser degree, for sure. And I don't 6 А recall Mr. Kroeker ever saying anything to the 7 effect that he didn't have the same point of 8 view. I don't know that he did. But he often 9 talked about the initiatives we had taken, that 10 11 BCLC had taken, in the last couple of years. So 12 my conversation with Mr. Kroeker would have been 13 closer to the end of my career with BCLC. 14 By that time we had implemented an AML team. We had consistent -- the AML team had consistent 15

16contact with an investigative unit. We had --17BCLC had put in conditions on buy-ins, had sort18of tried to step up the programs for getting19away from cash -- from cash buy-ins. So you20know, he mainly talked about those things. And21I agreed, all those these things took place and22were improving the situation.

Q Okay. Aside from forwarding reports on
suspicious transactions, did you ever express
the concerns you've been speaking about to

1		individuals in GPEB?
2	A	Oh, yes, quite often. We the GPEB
3		investigators, whether they be assigned to River
4		Rock or Starlight and/or at times when I was at
5		Edgewater, we often had conversations about the
6		Suspicious Transaction Reports and the amount of
7		cash coming in. They were well aware of my
8		belief on the amount of cash and the suspicions
9		around that.
10	Q	Did you ever have occasion during your time with
11		BCLC to speak about your concerns with anybody
12		in government?
13	A	No. No.
14	Q	I'm not suggesting that would be your role. I'm
15		just
16	A	No.
17	Q	You talked about your involvement as the police
18		liaison receiving information and providing
19		information to police forces. Would you receive
20		requests for information from IPOC and other law
21		enforcement agencies?
22	А	Yes, quite frequently.
23	Q	What were the nature of those requests?
24	А	The majority of the requests that I received
25		from IPOC were initiated they would first

1 mention in their request that there were a 2 couple of Suspicious Transaction Reports that 3 they were -- that they received on a certain 4 person. And then they would ask for -- I'll 5 call it financial data such as the date of the first transaction. When I say "first 6 transaction," large cash transaction that was 7 registered to FINTRAC. The date of that -- the 8 9 amount of -- total amount of buy-ins from that 10 transaction until the present time, the total 11 amount of disbursements and I believe also 12 the -- no, I think that was pretty much it. 13 They may have had asked for the number of 14 Suspicious Transaction Reports, but I believe 15 that that was not part of the request. 16 And were you ever made aware of what was done Q with the information you provided or whether it 17 18 led to any investigation beyond the provision of 19 information by you? 20 А No, I was not. 21 Q Once the IPOC units were disbanded did you 22 continue to receive similar requests from any 23 other unit? 24 Yes. Once the -- well, they became -- I thought А 25 they became the Federal Serious and Organized

1		Crime Section at the RCMP headquarters, so yes,
2		I continued getting requests from them.
3	Q	And you answered those requests?
4	A	Yes, I did. In the same manner, and I wasn't
5		aware of what action they took in those as well.
6	Q	Okay. Were you ever provided information from
7		IPOC or other police units about patrons?
8	А	Yes. I can specifically remember being provided
9		information about some loan sharking activity
10		and they asked for me to look in within our
11		iTrak file system and see if I could provide
12		them with further information.
13	Q	And what year was that? Do you recall?
14	A	That was while I was at River Rock initially, so
15		that would have been probably 2009, 2010.
16	Q	Do you recall who the individual was?
17		Individual or individuals.
18	A	On the one report I recall it was Constable Yim
19		from Richmond detachment.
20	Q	And do you recall who the respective loan sharks
21		were?
22	A	No, I don't now any longer. No.
23	Q	Do you recall ever being provided information by
24		IPOC or another police unit about a Paul Jin?
25	A	I well, first off, I became aware of Paul Jin

1		while I was working at the Starlight Casino
2		during the summer and fall of 2012. I don't
3		believe that IPOC ever inquired about him during
4		that period, so my initial knowledge of Paul Jin
5		came from casino reports.
6	Q	And did you provide information to IPOC or other
7		law enforcement units about Paul Jin?
8	А	Yes, I did. Whether or not it was one of those
9		reports, whether you're asking when was the
10		first transaction. I don't believe it was one
11		of those reports, but I don't recall
12		specifically whether I did in that regard.
13		There was another report that I that has been
14		redacted, and I don't I'm not permitted to
15		talk about right now.
16	Q	Okay. There were a number of Suspicious
17		Transaction Reports and similar reports created
18		with respect to Mr. Jin and individuals believed
19		to be Mr. Jin's associates over the years?
20	A	Yes. Yes. I was responsible for generating
21		some of those reports because they involved
22		Suspicious Transaction Reports.
23	Q	And those would have been forwarded to law
24		enforcement?
25	A	Oh, yes, yes.

- 1 Q And when --2 Both proceeds of crime and the GPEB А 3 investigator, yes. 4 Q And when was the first -- when did Mr. Jin first 5 come on your radar such that Suspicious Transaction Reports would have been generated 6 and forwarded to law enforcement and GPEB? 7 Specifically from me it would have been in 8 А October of 2012. But he came to -- basically to 9 10 my attention through BCLC investigator Stone Lee 11 around the same time, which would have been the 12 fall of 2012. And that was in relation to 13 suspicious activity that was happening at River 14 Rock. However, at that time I was working at 15 Starlight. 16 Okay. Thank you. You told us about a meeting, Q and in your affidavit you speak of a meeting in 17 18 July -- or pardon me, June of 2014, a meeting at 19 River Rock with CFSEU members where they advised 20 you of an intention to begin a major 21 investigation into alleged casino cash 22 facilitators?
- A Yes. That was -- more or less the purpose of
 the meeting was to discuss the possibility that
 CFSEU members would be conducting an

1 investigation. 2 Q Were you advised that the investigation was 3 being commenced because of a report that had 4 been filed -- forwarded by BCLC? Was I advised whether it was based on a report? 5 А I'd have to say that at that time I wasn't in 6 contact with CFSEU to any extent. Daryl 7 8 Tottenham was now the manager of AML investigations on behalf of BCLC. Anything that 9 related to the initiation of that meeting 10 11 probably went through himself and John 12 Karlovcec, who by that time either was the 13 Director or the manager of AML investigations. 14 So to answer your question, CFSEU didn't 15 reach out to me specifically about any one 16 report. 17 Q Okay. You were at this meeting with, among others, Miranda Law? 18 19 In June of 2014? Α 20 0 Yes. 21 А We might be talking about a separate meeting. 22 Okay. I wonder if you can tell the Commissioner Q 23 as much as possible what you remember being told 24 about the investigation that you understood to 25 be planned.

1	A	I don't recall too much now, which would be some
2		six, seven years later, but I do know that I
3		there was a PowerPoint presentation that we at
4		BCLC presented to CFSEU. There was much
5		contact or much conversation about CFSEU
6		initiating an investigation. That's pretty much
7		all I recall at this point. I remember there
8		were several CFSEU members at the meeting.
9	Q	Were you advised who the target of the
10		investigation was?
11	A	If I was, I don't recall. I thought it was an
12		overall meeting to target cash facilitators at
13		the casino.
14	Q	Okay. And
15	A	If there was a target, June of 2014, I I
16		don't recall who it was. It may have been
17		somebody that we already discussed, but I don't
18		recall any more.
19	Q	Do you recall being advised or becoming aware of
20		what came of that investigation?
21	A	Well, I no longer had contact on any kind of
22		basis with CFSEU and certainly that was left up
23		to the AML unit at BCLC, but I did become aware
24		that an investigation was undertaken and there
25		were some results, positive results and

	identifying people involved in cash
	facilitation.
Q	Are you aware of whether any charges flowed from
	that investigation?
A	I became aware that charges did flow from that
	investigation. Now, I would not have had a lot
	of knowledge about that investigation because I
	transferred to the Island in September of 2014.
Q	Okay. You talk in your affidavit, and I think
	you've alluded to it today, about a cash buy-in
	of \$460,000 in \$20 bills in 2010?
A	Yes.
Q	This was a transaction that caught your
	attention?
A	Very much so, yes.
Q	What did you do in response to becoming aware of
	this transaction? And I should say this was at
	the River Rock Casino?
A	This occurred at the River Rock Casino. I
	immediately made a request of the surveillance
	at the River Rock Casino to for me to review
	the video footage, save the video footage so I
	could review it. I also immediately checked the
	large cash transactions to confirm that yes, a
	\$460,000 buy-in did occur. And as a result of
	A Q A Q A Q

1 my request to surveillance, I subsequently 2 reviewed the video footage, advised that an 3 incident report on iTrak would have to be 4 submitted by surveillance. 5 I then followed up based on my observations and wrote a suspicious transaction report to 6 7 FINTRAC, which I had copied the circumstances of 8 that report to the GPEB investigator and to the local RCMP at the time. 9 10 MS. WRAY: Mr. Commissioner, this is BJ Wray for the 11 Attorney General of Canada. 12 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Ms. Wray. 13 MS. WRAY: I'm sorry, but this is just a reminder 14 that we have consistently been objecting to 15 testimony with respect to specific suspicious 16 transactions and whether or not those reports were filed with FINTRAC. And I note that this 17 18 testimony appears to have gone there as well. 19 MR. McGOWAN: Yes. 20 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. 21 MR. McGOWAN: That wasn't the intention of my 22 question. 23 MS. WRAY: Thank you. 24 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Ms. Wray. 25 MR. McGOWAN:

1	Q	Mr. Hiller, just to align you or explain to you
2		what's just occurred. Counsel for Canada has
3		raised an objection to witnesses communicating
4		that a Suspicious Transaction Report was filed
5		in respect of a specific incident. That's a
6		report to FINTRAC. And I'm not seeking to have
7		you give that information in relation to this
8		incident. It's not a prohibition on discussing
9		the filing of Suspicious Transaction Reports
10		generally or what the practice was, just so you
11		can bear that in mind as we go forward.
12	A	Yes, indeed.
13	Q	Prior to you becoming aware of this incident,
14		had the service provider communicated to you an
15		indication that this was suspicious by filing to
16		BCLC an unusual financial transaction report?
17	A	Prior to me being aware, there was no incident
18		report created by the service provider, so there
19		was no indication to myself or any of the other
20		investigators at River Rock, BCLC investigators,
21		that such circumstances occurred.
22	Q	And did you have communication with River Rock
23		staff about the fact that such a report had not
0.4		

24 been created?

25 A Yes. I spoke with the Surveillance Manager

1		about this after reviewing the video footage and
2		told them that an incident report will have to
3		be submitted so that I can review their
4		observations.
5	Q	Were you provided an explanation for why an
6		incident report had not been created prior to
7		your request?
8	A	The Surveillance Manager stated that this
9		particular VIP player quite often plays at a
10		high level. There were no delivery of cash; the
11		person brought the cash to the casino. There
12		was nothing suspicious about the buy-in as far
13		as delivery or cash facilitation, and he didn't
14		find it suspicious.
15	Q	Did you disagree?
16	A	I disagreed. I said that even though the VIP
17		player brought the amount of cash to the casino
18		without any cash hand-off by any other person,
19		the mere fact that it was \$460,000 in bundles of
20		\$20 bills bound by elastic bands was enough for
21		them to report it to us, and then we will review
22		that and make our determination whether it's
23		suspicious or not. But they're obligated to
24		make such an incident report on the initial
25		occurrence.

1	Q	Did you discuss this transaction with your
2		superiors?
3	A	Yes, I did.
4	Q	Tell the Commissioner about that, please.
5	A	Well, during a subsequent whether it was a
6		subsequent or the same conversation with the
7		Surveillance Manager, the Surveillance Manager
8		will ask well, if it's suspicious, what is the
9		threshold, a dollar amount that we should be
10		writing reports on; is it \$50,000; what is it?
11		And I basically said that the reports, the
12		incident reports should be created and reported
13		to us based on the suspicious nature of the
14		circumstances. That I wasn't aware of a
15		threshold but that I would check with my
16		manager, Gord Friesen, to ensure that there's no
17		threshold.
18		Subsequently I contacted Mr. Friesen, and he
19		confirmed that it's based on suspicious
20		activity, suspicious circumstances, not a
21		threshold.

Q Was there a time when one or more service
providers appeared to be operating or were
operating on the basis of a \$50,000 threshold?
A Or even greater. I think when I initially got

1		to River Rock, I don't remember seeing many
2		transactions, suspicious transactions reported
3		to us that were \$50,000 or less, or maybe it was
4		somewhere in that area, but we certainly didn't
5		see those reports. And it was mainly
6		higher-limit cash buy-ins at that time.
7	Q	Okay. Do you have any recollection about an
8		issue arising at some point about service
9		providers operating perhaps on the basis of some
10		communication they had received on the basis
11		that buy-ins of \$50,000 buy-ins under \$50,000
12		in \$20 bills did not have to be reported?
13	A	I don't recall them mentioning any
14		correspondence to that degree, no.
15	Q	Okay. You told the Commissioner you became
16		aware of Mr. Jin in approximately 2012 when you
17		were working at Starlight. And you became
18		aware or did you become aware that he was
19		received a one-year ban for cash dropoffs,
20		essentially?
21	A	Yes. I was made aware of that by BCLC
22		investigator Stone Lee.
23	Q	Okay. And did you become aware of through
24		your review of reports and footage of potential
25		violations of that ban?

1 A Yes, I did.

20

2 Q Okay. And tell the Commissioner what you 3 observed in that regard and what you did about 4 it, please.

5 Well, there were several violations of that ban, А but there were also two specific incidents that 6 happened at the Starlight Casino that involved 7 8 Mr. Paul Jin. And knowing that he was already barred, he shouldn't be in the casino or on the 9 property. I understood that, and so I reviewed 10 11 all circumstances of his violations. I reviewed 12 the two incident reports where he in fact 13 attended the casino property at Starlight. I 14 reviewed the video footage and then I further 15 reported from my observations and sought a 16 five-year BCLC barring of Mr. Jin as a result. And was that five-year barring granted? 17 0 18 Yes, it was. А 19 And did you communicate the existence of the ban 0

21AThat gets communicated by way of letter. But22subsequent to him receiving the letter he made a23complaint to our BCLC consumer services line24that he wanted to talk to an investigator about25the barring. And as a result of that, that

to Mr. Jin?

1		particular complaint was my responsibility to
2		respond to, so I contacted him as per his
3		request in the complaint, yes.
4	Q	Okay. And if you can recall, what year and
5		month was that communication?
6	A	That was on December the 3rd, 2012.
7	Q	Okay. And during the call did he communicate to
8		you that he was aware of the ban?
9	A	Yes, he did. He indicated he received the
10		letter that and acknowledged the five-year
11		ban letter that he received.
12	Q	Okay. And did you become aware of any
13		violations subsequent to that conversation?
14	A	I don't recall now any longer, no.
15	Q	Did the issue of Mr. Jin and his associates
16		delivering cash to casinos continue to be an
17		issue of concern after the five-year ban was put
18		in place? Did you become aware of that?
19	A	Yes, I believe there were some incidents at
20		River Rock for sure after that, but I'm vague on
21		my memory of that.
22	Q	Was this a topic of conversation that came up at
23		the monthly investigators meetings?
24	А	Would have likely have been, for sure. That
25		would've been the type of topic we would've

1 discussed, but again my memory is vague at this 2 point. 3 0 No, I appreciate that some time has passed since 4 then, sir. 5 You had a -- speak in your affidavit about a meeting you had with somebody who provided you 6 7 information. You say: 8 "In 2014, a confidential source whom I considered to be a reliable source of 9 10 information told me that major loan sharks 11 were operating in BC casinos, and that the 12 vast majority of VIPs get the money they 13 gamble with in Lower Mainland casinos from 14 loan sharks. I was told that these loans, 15 plus a commission, are repaid in China, 16 and that good customers pay a lower commission." 17 18 What did you -- first of all, have I accurately 19 summarized what you've communicated in your 20 affidavit about the information you received? 21 А Yes, you have. 22 Okay. What did you do with that information? Ο 23 Α I wrote the details that you summarized and some 24 additional information into an incident report. 25 And then I made my manager and Assistant

1		Manager the manager was Gord Friesen and my
2		Assistant Manager John Karlovcec aware that
3		the incident report has been completed for their
4		review.
5	Q	Okay. And where was the incident report stored?
6	A	In the iTrak system, casino iTrak system, which
7		is the BCLC reporting system.
8	Q	Okay. And do all investigators and managers and
9		Vice Presidents, for example, have access to
10		iTrak?
11	A	Yes, they do.
12	Q	And they did at the time?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Did Mr. Friesen or Mr. Karlovcec have any
15		response to what you communicated to them?
16	A	I don't recall
17	Q	Did you just tell them the report existed or did
18		you tell them the contents of your communication
19		with the source as well?
20	A	I told them the report existed and I expected
21		them to read it.
22	Q	Okay. Was there any further communication with
23		either of them about the report?
24	A	No, there wasn't, and I regularly checked the
25		report to see whether they've added any comments

1		or acknowledged the report or anything like
2		that, and there was never any comments put on by
3		a manager or anybody above.
4	Q	Was it the practice in BCLC if a manager road a
5		report from an investigator that they would
6		comment on it?
7	A	No, it was not the routine for the manager to
8		comment on all reports or several of the
9		reports. They would not make a comment.
10		However, a report of this significance I
11		expected there to be a comment.
12		Now, managers later and when I say
13		"managers," certainly the manager of AML
14		investigations, Daryl Tottenham, always
15		commented on reports of UFTs, whether
16		substantiated or unsubstantiated. He
17		reported he documented his comments on every
18		one of those reports. So that may have become a
19		standard much later, but it wasn't during my
20		time. I just felt that the significance of this
21		report, that there should be some comment from
22		either a manager or a director or a Vice
23		President, whatever.
24	Q	In addition to communicating the existence of

the report to Mr. Friesen and Mr. Karlovcec, did

you communicate it to any other superior, the
 existence of the report?

3 Α Yes. Later during a visit by our Director, 4 Kevin Sweeney, and at that time it was the 5 manager of AML investigations, Ross Alderson. They had visited the River Rock Casino while I 6 7 was there. I brought their attention to the report at that time, and certainly they -- I 8 9 believe that they read it as a result of me talking to them about it. I brought it to the 10 11 a -- I brought the same report to the attention 12 of later, later in time, to our Vice President 13 Brad Desmarais and much later in time to our 14 Vice President Rob Kroeker.

15 Did you receive a response or feedback from any Q 16 of those individuals about the report? 17 А Certainly from Brad Desmarais. In an email 18 exchange he reported to me that he had read that 19 report before and -- that email exchange that 20 went on about interest rates and such that --21 his belief on interest rates and things. That 22 is a document that I recently read, so -- but 23 there was never any comment on the actual iTrak 24 incident report by any of these people. 25 Okay. And in each case did you just communicate 0

1 the existence of the report or did you 2 communicate the contents of the report to any of 3 those individuals? 4 А Certainly with Gord Friesen and John Karlovcec I 5 received permission to follow up on this information by Gord Friesen, so -- and he knew 6 the report was coming. And when I completed the 7 report, I don't recall exactly what he -- what I 8 9 said, but he would have known that the report consisted of some information of importance to 10 11 our agency.

12 0 Okay. One possible mechanism whereby a casino 13 could be used to launder funds that has been 14 proposed is a player coming in with cash, 15 purchasing chips, playing minimally and cashing 16 out for a cheque. During your time as a BCLC 17 investigator, with the higher value buy-ins did 18 you ever see conduct that led you to believe 19 that this form of using a casino to launder 20 funds was occurring?

A I don't recall ever seeing such an occurrence where they could buy in and the service provider -- buy in with little or no play and then try to cash out and receive a cheque. The service provider on all occasions would not in

1		those circumstances provide them with a cheque,
2		and I don't recall ever seeing that to be an
3		occurrence. I know that I read stories from
4		the media that suggested that occurred, but I
5		don't recall that ever occurring in my time.
6	Q	You talk in your affidavit about a presentation
7		from a Jonathan Manthorpe?
8	A	Manthorpe, yes.
9	Q	And did I wonder if you can just share with
10		the Commissioner the contents of that
11		presentation and what your reaction was to it,
12		just in brief.
13	A	Yeah, the I attended two presentations by
14		Mr. Manthorpe. The first one was in March, I
15		believe, of 2012. I forget the date, but around
16		that period. If I break down the content of the
17		presentation that I recall specifically, it was
18		about the amount of cash that Chinese nationals
19		were bringing into the Vancouver airport in
20		their luggage. There was, you know, a greater
21		extent to the presentation, but that was the one
22		thing that stuck out in my mind about that
23		presentation.
24	Q	And what was your reaction to that or response
25		to that?

1 Well, that presentation lasted over a lunch А 2 period, so we took a break during the lunch period. And first off, my own general 3 4 impression was this doesn't make sense that 5 Chinese nationals are bringing in bundles of cash bound by elastic bands in their suitcases 6 and that this is the same bundles of cash that 7 8 we are receiving at the cage. So I kind of disbelieved that portion of the presentation. 9 I also didn't believe that portion of the 10 11 presentation because, in my knowledge, globally 12 US dollars is the main currency that would be 13 transported if a person was about to bring money

in -- cash into the country by way of suitcase.
Suitcases.

16 So during the lunch break I contacted a 17 Canada Border Services Agency colleague of mine. His name was Colin McDouall and I asked him 18 19 about the previous year, basically occasions 20 when Canada Border Services Agency had the 21 occasion to search and determine cash that was 22 being brought in by Chinese nationals. He 23 advised me that yes, that was approximately 24 \$12 million in total during that previous year. 25 He also advised me the majority of the cash was

US currency; however, there was some Canadian
 currency.

And based on that information, it kind of 3 4 confirmed what I had already been thinking, and 5 when I returned to -- after lunch to partake in the presentation as it continued, there was a 6 7 question and answer session at the end of it where Mr. Manthorpe asked if anybody had any 8 9 questions. I related to Mr. Manthorpe at my -at that time my inquiry that I'd just made with 10 11 Canada Border Services Agency, and that I was 12 told that the majority of the currency is US 13 currency.

14I also asked him whether the charts that he15had in his presentation which had been16converted -- which were in Canadian dollars17was -- I asked him something to the effect, was18it converted to Canadian dollars for the19purposes of the presentation, and he replied20that it was.

Q And what was the context of Mr. Manthorpe giving
a presentation to BCLC investigators?
A The concept was basically explaining to us that

to our cage. I believe that was the concept.

there are other alternatives of the cash coming

1		It was providing a viewpoint that the cash
2		coming to the cage has this possibility of
3		suitcases of money coming from China.
4	Q	Who arranged for the presentation? Do you know?
5	А	I believe Gord Friesen arranged for the
6		presentation, or at least he introduced the
7		speaker, so I'm suspecting that he did.
8	Q	Was there a newsletter, internal newsletter
9		within BCLC called the Yak?
10	А	Yes, there is.
11	Q	And I wonder if you could just you briefly tell
12		the Commissioner what that newsletter was and
13		who it was distributed to.
14	A	Well, the Yak the Yak articles are posted on
15		our internal website for all employees to read.
16		So everybody that's employed at BCLC who is on
17		the BCLC internal website can read a Yak
18		article.
19		The initial Yak article of concern to me was
20		in May of 2013. It was posted by our then VP
21		Brad Desmarais. I believe that article was
22		entitled "Money Laundering? Not Really."
23	Q	Is that the document that's exhibit S to your
24		affidavit? S as in Sam.
25	А	Yes, it's attached to at tab S. My copy, I

1		cannot see the table yes, I see the date.
2		May 21st, 2013.
3	Q	Yes. And the title, you'll see I'm sure
4		you'll agree with me is "Money Laundering in
5		BC Casinos? Not Really."
6	A	That's correct, yes.
7	Q	And the opening line of the article:
8		"One of the most talked about myths
9		involving BC casino is that money
10		laundering is rampant."
11	A	Yes, that's the opening line. Yes.
12	Q	And the article goes on to discuss money
13		laundering and some of the components of it and
14		also expresses some alternate explanations for
15		cash coming into British Columbia casinos?
16	A	Yes, it does.
17	Q	It addresses the Canada border service report of
18		millions of dollars of cash entering the country
19		every year?
20	A	Yes, it does.
21	Q	It references the fact that some cultural
22		groups, or the belief that some cultural groups
23		favour the use of cash?
24	A	Yes, it does.
25	Q	And it identifies the existence of legitimate

- 1 cash-based businesses?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q What was your response to reading this article,
 4 sir?
- 5 Well, I was notably upset by the article in the А this fact. That some of these alternative ways 6 7 of getting cash to Canada or possibly getting 8 cash to the casinos certainly could and probably do exist, but it didn't mention at all what I 9 10 believed to be the greater possibility: that 11 organized crime was involved in supplying this 12 cash. So that's the upsetting part that I was, 13 you know, concerned with.
- 14 Q And what did you do, if anything, as a result of 15 that concern?
- 16 A On this particular occasion -- I remember there
 17 were two Yak articles. I believe I wrote
 18 something in response to the second Yak article.
- 19 Q Following on the -- following on this Yak
 20 article, though, was there another Yak article,
 21 sir?

22 A Yes. It was in November of 2014.

Q I want to go back to the 2013. After you read
that article, did you express your views about
what was missing from the article to anybody

1		within BCLC that you recall?
2	А	Well, certainly to my fellow BCLC investigators.
3		I would have been working at River Rock at the
4		time. All three of them plus the compliance
5		officer would have heard my opinion on this
6		article, yes.
7	Q	Did you raise your opinion about the article at
8		the monthly meeting?
9	A	I don't recall if I did.
10	Q	There was a subsequent Yak article which you
11		spoke about in 2014; correct?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	The possibility of money laundering in British
14		Columbia casinos?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	And was it expressing similar sentiments to the
17		one we just reviewed?
18	A	Yes. Correct, yes.
19	Q	And that second article is exhibit T to your
20		affidavit?
21	A	That's correct, yes.
22	Q	Not expressing the same concerns in every
23		respect. It did address alternate explanations
24		for cash coming into casinos in the face of
25		recent media reports about cash coming into

1		casinos?
2	A	Yes, it did. It mentioned several methods, yes.
3	Q	What was your response to the second Yak
4		article, November 3rd, 2014?
5	A	Again, I was very concerned that of a
6		viewpoint that was likely correct to some degree
7		that these were possibilities of cash coming
8		into the casino, but I was concerned about the
9		article because it didn't contain the most
10		likely concern is that the money was coming from
11		organized crime. So I was concerned enough to
12		write a reply to this article.
13	Q	And how did you write the reply and who did you
14		send it to?
15	A	Yes. What I did was cut excerpts from the
16		article into a Word document, and then following
17		the excerpt, which was highlighted in my Word
18		document, I provided my comments as to the
19		comments made by our VP, Brad Desmarais. So
20		there were certain excerpts that I cut and
21		pasted into the Word document, highlighted and
22		then I commented on that excerpt. Then I would
23		cut another excerpt from the article and then
24		comment, and that provide my comments to that
25		excerpt.

1		I then forwarded my WordPerfect document to
2		my Assistant Manager, Bruno Gatto. I was on the
3		Island at that time. I was stationed on the
4		Island and he was in the Vancouver BCLC office.
5		And in my comments to Bruno Gatto, I mentioned
6		that he has my blessing maybe not the exact
7		words to pass this along to other managers
8		and the like, and I'll leave that decision up to
9		him.
10	Q	And were you ever advised whether he did pass it
11		on to anyone else?
12	A	Yes. I reviewed an email where he had forwarded
13		it to my manager, Kris Gade, and to my director,
14		Kevin Sweeney.
15	Q	Your response to the Yak article is exhibit U to
16		your affidavit?
17	А	Yes. My response is dated November 7th, 2014.
18		And that is my response.
19	Q	I'm just going to read one paragraph from your
20		response. It's under the bolded word
21		"integration," the first paragraph after that:
22		"Considering that we should suspect that
23		most high-level Asian cash buy-ins are
24		coming from the underground economy, does
25		It not make the VIP player a 'vehicle' for

1 laundering money? Especially, since it is 2 a likely scenario that the underground 3 economy includes the criminal element who 4 are trying to launder their money, and it 5 is my understanding that most Asian players likely have an agreement to repay 6 the money in Asia, where they can actually 7 8 access their wealth. Supplying our VIP 9 players with cash works to the benefit of 10 the underground economy because they can 11 rid themselves of bundles of cash and, in 12 doing so, has moved their cash off shore 13 when repayment occurs." 14 Those are my comments that I typed into this Α 15 document. 16 Did you ever receive a response from any of your Q 17 superiors to that comment or any of the other comments in this document? 18 19 No, not that I recall. Α 20 You spoke earlier in your evidence, sir, about Q 21 some measures that were implemented, and you

22 spoke about measures that were implemented that 23 you discussed with Mr. Kroeker, and I gather 24 those were AML measures designed to address the 25 issue of cash coming into casinos?

1	A	Yes. And some of them were implemented while
2		Brad Desmarais was Vice President. And then
3		others were implemented as when that change
4		to Rob Kroeker being the Vice President, yes.
5	Q	What was the in 2015 do you recall certain
6		players being placed on cash conditions such
7		that they couldn't buy in with unsourced cash?
8	A	Yes. I would regularly see those comments added
9		to their subject profiles within the iTrak
10		system.
11	Q	Okay. And to your observation, what impact did
12		the imposition of source of cash conditions on
13		certain players have to the quantity of cash and
14		suspicious cash buy-ins that were occurring at
15		Lower Mainland casinos?
16	А	Certainly it eliminated cash buy-ins by some of
17		those persons and certainly reduced the overall
18		revenue for cash buy-ins for table play.
19	Q	In the face of source of cash restrictions being
20		implemented and initially on a few player and
21		then more, did there despite that did there
22		continue to be a volume of large cash buy-ins
23		that were occurring at Lower Mainland casinos?
24	A	Yes. Any player that didn't have conditions.
25		And they were more likely to be brand new

1 players that just arrived from China that we 2 were not aware of previously therefore there 3 were no conditions set in iTrak. They were 4 allowed to buy in with large cash -- with large 5 amounts of cash until such time as maybe one, two or three incidents occurred and we were able 6 7 to document the suspicious nature of those 8 transactions. And then the AML team would then become aware of that and put conditions on those 9 10 players as well.

11 Were you still having a lens into the degree of 0 12 large cash buy-ins in British Columbia's Lower 13 Mainland casinos at the time following the 14 implementation of Dr. German's interim recommendation about source of cash and BCLC's 15 16 addition of the receipting requirement? 17 Yes, I certainly would. The iTrak reporting А 18 system is available to me at all times, so there 19 was often times I would review some incidents

20 that were going on at River Rock and Starlight 21 just for my own information. Of course I was 22 aware of what was going on.

Q What happened to the number of large cash
buy-ins at Lower Mainland casinos after the 2018
implementation of Dr. German's recommendation

1 and the receipting requirement that accompanied 2 it? 3 А Well, the large cash transactions were almost 4 diminished to a minimum by then because 5 everything \$10,000 or greater had to be accompanied by a receipt or some kind of 6 documentation where the money was derived from. 7 Most of the players that I had observed over the 8 9 many years weren't about to bring in cash with 10 receipts, so certainly the revenue pretty well 11 diminished from that -- from what had previously 12 been the case. 13 MR. McGOWAN: Mr. Commissioner, those are my --THE WITNESS: I should add that the VIP rooms became 14 15 very quiet as a result. MR. McGOWAN: Thank you. Thank you for answering my 16 questions, sir. Some of the other counsel will 17 18 have questions for you. 19 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. McGowan. 20 Ms. Harlingten on behalf of the province and 21 GPEB has been allocated 25 minutes. 22 MS. HARLINGTEN: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. 23 MR. SMART: Sorry. Just before we start, I wonder, 24 Mr. McGowan -- no, I'm just joking -- Mr. Hiller 25 wondered if he could -- we could take the break

1 now, Mr. Commissioner. 2 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, of course, Mr. Smart. We will take 15 minutes and then resume with 3 4 Ms. Harlingten. Thank you. THE REGISTRAR: This hearing is adjourned for a 5 15-minute recess until 11:15 a.m. 6 (WITNESS STOOD DOWN) 7 8 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 11:00 A.M.) 9 (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED AT 11:14 A.M.) 10 THE REGISTRAR: Thank you for waiting. The hearing is now resumed. Mr. Commissioner. 11 12 MICHAEL HILLER, a 13 witness for the 14 commission, recalled. THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Madam Registrar. 15 16 Yes, Ms. Harlingten. 17 MS. HARLINGTEN: Thank you. Mr. Commissioner. EXAMINATION BY MS. HARLINGTEN: 18 19 Mr. Hiller, can you hear me all right? 0 20 А Yes, I can. 21 Q Great. Just let me know if that changes. 22 Mr. Hiller, my impression from reading your 23 notebooks and your affidavit is that you 24 frequently proposed barrings for patrons 25 engaging in activities like loan sharking, large

1 cash transactions and cheat at play? 2 Yes, I did. Α 3 0 Did you consider patron barrings to be an 4 effective tool for managing patron activities? Yes. Because, first off, it sent a message that 5 А BCLC would not put up with whatever activity 6 they were involved in, secondly that it gave a 7 tool for the service provider to turn them away 8 9 at the door. 10 In respect to BCLC barrings for things like 11 cash facilitation or things like that, it was 12 effective but guite often whoever was prohibited 13 based on the barring was replaced by somebody 14 else. And so to that effect it was maybe not as 15 effective. 16 And you say in your affidavit, Mr. Hiller, that Q 17 when you first started at BCLC, that barring 18 proposals had to be approved by managers or 19 Assistant Managers; is that correct? 20 А That is correct, yes. 21 But later in your career when you were what you Q 22 call an experienced casino investigation, you 23 would have approved barring yourself for things 24 like cheat at play or theft or assault? 25 That's correct, yes. А

1	Q	You were not responsible for approving barring
2		requests for large cash transactions, were you?
3	A	I don't think there were ever any barrings based
4		on just a large cash transaction. I think
5		you're talking about money facilitation? Cash
6		facilitation?
7	Q	Yes.
8	A	No, by that period of time the manager of AML,
9		Daryl Tottenham, would have been responsible for
10		approving those barrings.
11	Q	In your time as a casino investigator,
12		Mr. Hiller, did you observe any difference in
13		approach taken by BCLC management between
14		barring for cash facilitation, as you've
15		clarified, and patrons who engaged in other
16		activities like cheat at play?
17	А	No. Generally things like cheat at play, or
18		I'll call it other criminal lesser criminal
19		activity that was criminal offences, the length
20		of term would be based on the circumstances, but
21		generally cash facilitation, if there was enough
22		incidents relating to it, it was generally a
23		five-year term was sought almost throughout my
24		time and throughout what I saw Mr. Tottenham
25		approving.

1	Q	Did you observe any difference in the response
2		of service providers to patron barrings for cash
3		facilitation or loan sharking as compared to
4		other criminal activities as you've clarified?
5	A	No. They would have been treated in the same
6		manner. If any of those people who were
7		provincially barred attended the property and
8		then and they were observed by the service
9		provider, they would be immediately turned
10		around and escorted off the property.
11	Q	I suppose my question was not that clear,
12		Mr. Hiller. My apologies. Did the service
13		providers react differently to barrings proposed
14		for different activities?
15	A	Not to my knowledge, no.
16	Q	At paragraph 71 of your affidavit, Mr. Hiller,
17		you speak about a proposed oh, sorry, please
18		feel free to go there.
19	A	Yes. Go ahead.
20	Q	So you're speaking there, Mr. Hiller, about a
21		proposed five-year barring for loan sharking
22		that you attempted to have implemented in 2009
23		as part of your efforts while stationed at the
24		River Rock; is that correct?
25	A	Yes. I submitted the request and it was

1 approved. Yes. 2 MS. HARLINGTEN: Madam Registrar, may I please have the witness refer to document BCLC0011939. 3 4 MR. SMART: It may be visible on the screen. MS. HARLINGTEN: Pardon me. It should be 938. 5 THE REGISTRAR: Sorry, counsel, can you please repeat 6 7 the number again. 8 MS. HARLINGTEN: Yes, of course. BCLC0011938. 9 THE REGISTRAR: Thank you. THE WITNESS: I'm at tab 11, which -- it contains my 10 11 notes in February 2009. 12 MS. HARLINGTEN: 13 And May 29th, 2009? 0 14 Correct, yes. А 15 Q Do you recognize this as your own notebook, 16 Mr. Hiller? Yes, I do. 17 А 18 And you would have kept these notes Q 19 contemporaneous with your duties? 20 А Yes. 21 THE COMMISSIONER: I'm just wondering -- I'm sorry, 22 Ms. Harlingten. I'm just wondering if we can 23 get the document before. 24 MS. HARLINGTEN: Apologies, Mr. Commissioner. 25 And you have it in front of you as well, 0

1 Mr. Hiller? 2 А Yes, I do. MS. HARLINGTEN: I'm so sorry, everybody. I've 3 4 actually taken you to the wrong notebook. I was correct the first time. It's 0011939, not 38. 5 My apologies. Sorry, Madam Registrar. 6 THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm at that particular notes, and 7 it's dated -- my notes are dated June the 1st, 8 2009, to June the 16th, 2010. 9 MS. HARLINGTEN: Yes, that's correct. And I see 10 11 Madam Registrar has it there for me now. Thank 12 you, Madam Registrar. Apologies for that. 13 So, again, you would have kept these notes --Q 14 and this was your notebook. You would have 15 taken these contemporaneous [indiscernible]. 16 Yes, I would have. А 17 Can I have you please turn to the entry that is Q found at 1193.008, so it should be page 8 of 18 19 that notebook. 20 А I believe the date on the top right corner is 21 June 29, 2009. 22 Q Yes, that's correct. I'd like to draw your 23 attention to the July 3rd, 2009 entry, 24 Mr. Hiller. 25 А Yes.

1	Q	And you'll see there that you've written and
2		I'm just going to read it to you to make sure
3		that it's correct:
4		"Rick Duff"
5		You have an iTrak number there, 2009-0025876.
6		And you say:
7		"Rick Duff into your office to speak with
8		Rick and I."
9		I understand that's Rick Pannu, who was another
10		investigator with you at the time?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	"Was upset about BCLC barrings for LCT
13		players. He will discuss further with
14		Doug Morrison. Rick Duff also mentioned
15		that if this is how BCLC investigators are
16		going to do business, then he will
17		instruct surveillance to do things
18		differently. We discussed that wasn't a
19		good idea and pointed out that the
20		offenders have incidents at varying sites
21		with Starlight and [River Rock Casino]
22		about equal."
23		Do you see that there?
24	A	Yes, I do.
25	Q	And then over onto the next page, the entry

74 Michael Hiller (for the commission) Exam by Ms. Harlingten 1 dated July 7th, 2009. 2 А Yes. 3 0 You there see you're speaking again about 4 2009-0025876? 5 А Yes. And you say: 6 0 "Five year barring rescinded by Doug 7 8 Morrison. After he and Gord Friesen had a 9 meeting with the GM Rick Duff." 10 Then you make a note about counterfeit dollars 11 being picked up by security. 12 А Yes. 13 Is it correct to say that your manager rescinded Q 14 that barring you recommended after having a 15 discussion with the General Manager of the River Rock Casino? 16 Yes, that's correct. And that's pretty much the 17 А 18 only occasion that I'm aware of that this 19 happened. 20 Q That's the only occasion you're aware of that it 21 was rescinded after involvement by a service 22 provider? 23 Yes. That I am aware of. Yes. А 24 To continue in this notebook. I understand, Q 25 Mr. Hiller, from this notebook that although

1		this might be the only occasion on which a
2		barring you proposed was rescinded, that this
3		issue with Mr. Duff continued on for a fairly
4		extended period of time. Do you recall that?
5	A	Yes, it did. The barring concerned a number of
6		individuals but specifically two individuals
7		that were well-known to me from my previous
8		experience with the RCMP.
9	Q	Before I take you to the next passage,
10		Mr. Hiller, in your experience did service
11		providers often intervene in BCLC decisions to
12		bar patrons?
13	А	No, they didn't. We in matters like this we
14		quite often had discussions about the
15		provincial the proposal for the provincial
16		barring with the management at the service
17		provider management. They would certainly state
18		their viewpoints, but they quite often were not
19		to the extent that a barring would be rescinded.
20	Q	Could I have you now turn to page 36 of your
21		notebook, Mr. Hiller.
22	А	What's the number at the bottom right corner?
23	Q	Yes, that's correct. So you should see
24		11939.036.
25	A	I'm at that page. And the date at the top right

1		corner is August 26th, 2009.
2	Q	Yes, that's right. Thank you, Mr. Hiller. I'm
3		interested in the notes you make at the bottom
4		at 3:30 p.m. that day. You say you're back at
5		BCLC River Rock Casino. Do you see that there?
6	A	Yes, I do.
7	Q	And you're speaking about a different incident
8		this time, 2009-0033938, and you write
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Sorry. And you write:
11		"390,000 chip passing incident. Rick
12		Pannu and I met with GM Duff. I advised
13		my position of reviewing this incident as
14		it looked like major loan sharking by
15		husband and wife team."
16		And you note two patrons there. And:
17		"Duff's position was that chip passing is
18		not illegal, both are valuable customers
19		and their explanation was that they play
20		together. I pointed out BCLC has to
21		attribute the money to somebody and the
22		circumstances do not clearly determine
23		this. We discussed our responsibilities
24		to report to FINTRAC which extend to
25		casino staff determining who owns"

1		That money, which is the \$390,000. Do you see
2		that there?
3	A	Yes, I do.
4	Q	And so this is a second incident where it
5		appears that Mr. Duff is not pleased with your
6		proposal to investigate an incident and perhaps
7		bar the patron?
8	A	Yes. This looks like another incident where
9		he's not aligned with my thinking, yes.
10	Q	And just to be complete for this particular
11		incident, if you'll just turn over the page for
12		me, Mr. Hiller.
13	A	The next page is dated August 28, 2009.
14	Q	Yes, that's right. And there should be an
15		expert at the bottom that says "re" three
16		patrons names 2009-0033938, do you see that
17		there?
18	A	Yes, I do see that.
19	Q	Yes. And so in this particular circumstance you
20		note to yourself:
21		"An explanation was [received] via email
22		from [River Rock Casino employee] (Ray
23		Sam) which explains this chip passing
24		incident and no longer makes suspicious."
25		So in that particular circumstance you were

1		satisfied that the circumstances were not
2		suspicious it seems from your notes?
3	A	Yes. Correct. Just let me verify that we're
4		talking about the same incident. I'll turn back
5		for a moment.
6	Q	Of course.
7	A	Yes, your observations of my notes are correct.
8		I was no longer convinced that the incident was
9		suspicious.
10	Q	Thank you, Mr. Hiller. This particular
11		discussion, I'll call it, that you were having
12		with Mr. Duff didn't stop at this point. Is
13		that your recollection?
14	A	You broke up when you said that last sentence.
15	Q	Apologies. I'll repeat myself. This discussion
16		about loan sharking and barring large cash
17		transaction patrons, this conversation with
18		Mr. Duff continued on. Is that your
19		recollection?
20	A	Yes. I believe we would have had other
21		conversations for sure.
22	Q	Staying within the same notebook, Mr. Hiller,
23		I'll have you now turn to page 77. So .077 on
24		the bottom.
25	MS.	HARLINGTEN: Thank you, Madam Registrar.

1	THE	WITNESS: And before we go on we'll continue
2		with this, but I would like to make a comment
3		about the barring that was rescinded. The first
4		one we talked about later, but let's continue
5		with this. I'm looking at my mote notes dated
6		November 9th, 2009.
7	MS.	HARLINGTEN:
8	Q	Yes, that's correct. And please feel free to
9		make your observation, Mr. Hiller. It's your
10		evidence.
11	А	About the barring that was rescinded?
12	Q	Yes, please, feel free.
13	A	Two of those persons, as I previously stated,
14		were known to me from my previous RCMP
15		experience. Later in time after further
16		incidents, as often is the case we reviewed
17		further incidents I did seek a barring and it
18		was approved for those two individuals. I would
19		like also like to say that at the time the
20		barring was rescinded, I had for one of those
21		individuals I had testified at an immigration
22		deportation hearing for one of those subjects
23		where I had to relate the criminal activity that
24		that person was known to be involved in at my
25		time of employment with the RCMP.

1 And at around the same period of time The 2 Vancouver Sun ran a front-page article which mentioned both of those individuals and related 3 4 quite a history of their criminal involvement. 5 I brought that article to the attention of Mr. Friesen, and he basically told me that had I 6 brought that article to his attention earlier, 7 8 maybe we wouldn't have rescinded the barring. I oppose that kind of thinking because I 9 10 like to base my barring on the activities that 11 happen in the casino, not based on my past 12 knowledge in the RCMP and not based on a 13 Vancouver Sun article. So I just wanted to with 14 make that point. 15 Yes. Fair enough. Thank you very much, Q 16 Mr. Hiller. So we're both on the same page, November 9th, 2009? 17 18 Yes. А 19 And you'll see you're speaking here with your Q 20 partner Rick Pannu and you're back at the River 21 Rock. And just skipping past the first line 22 there you say: 23 "Discussion with GM Rick Duff (Rick Pannu 24 and myself)." 25 And you note that it's a different patron. A

1		BCLC barring. You put in parentheses:
2		"Ineffective warning by security) Tables
3		and surveillance are not reporting as per
4		their LCT training.
5		Duff's comment - warnings for chip
6		passing will not be strongly worded, i.e.
7		BCLC may bar the patron if the patron does
8		not stop - because there is no BCLC 'chip
9		passing policy.'"
10		And then you discussed another example, you'll
11		see there, of another patron.
12		"Self-generated by BCLC - extensive
13		dealings with loan sharks to obtain buy-in
14		money.
15		Not being reported by table/
16		surveillance."
17		You raise the possibility of BCLC barring this
18		patron "for extensive use of loan sharks," and
19		that's to be discussed. Just over to the next
20		page, Mr. Friesen Mr. Hiller.
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	You'll see there:
23		"Rick Duff stated it is not an offence to
24		deal with loan sharks.
25		Duff thinks BCLC should work on the

1	loan sharking themselves, not LCT players.
2	His concern is BCLC barring hurts revenue.
3	I stated always going after the
4	smaller delivery loan sharks not properly
5	dealing with the LCT player creates a
6	'revolving door' scenario. Next month
7	we're dealing with the same LCT player and
8	new loan shark delivery persons.
9	I advised that I intend to submit a
10	BCLC barring request for"
11	This patron you're discussing.
12	" but I will discuss it first with Gord
13	and Doug.
14	In the meantime, I asked Rick
15	Duff to think about it and we can
16	meet next week to discuss an
17	alternative way to deal with this
18	patron."
19	"Rick Duff is sure GCGC [management] will
20	side with his view and object to any BCLC
21	barring for an LCT player merely for
22	dealing with loan sharks.
23	Being pressed by BCLC to increase
24	revenue according to Duff.
25	We also discussed dealer supervisors

1 not reporting [suspicious] transactions. 2 Rick Duff advised the floor manager's 3 responsibility to report. He doesn't want 4 his table staff doing it." 5 А Yes. And so you'll agree with me, then, Mr. Hiller, 6 Ο that this conversation you're having with 7 8 Mr. Duff, it's fair to say that he is opposed to barring LCT patrons? 9 Yes, he is opposed to it. And I think 10 А 11 eventually I agreed with his thinking on this 12 subject and the person was not -- I did not 13 submit a provincial barring for this person. 14 Instead later on I did speak to this patron 15 with, of course, Mr. Duff's knowledge. I spoke to him and warned him about the situation. 16 17 I did, however, provincially ban the person 18 that was facilitating the cash to this 19 particular VIP player and that provincial 20 barring was for five years. 21 0 And so this would be one of the only times you 22 spoke with a patron, as you say in your 23 affidavit at paragraph 27? 24 Well, that reference to the only time -- not А 25 speaking to a patron was about a particular cash 1 buy-in. This was more about a series of cash 2 buy-ins where he or she potentially could have 3 been provincially barred. I never spoke to 4 patrons about the origin of their cash. 5 However, in this case I did know the origin of the cash; it was the other person facilitating 6 7 cash to that person. 8 So when I say I didn't speak to patrons

9 involved in large cash buy-ins that is true, but 10 after the fact when you're dealing with 11 potentially a BCLC barring and it's decided to 12 warn the person instead, yes, I spoke to this 13 person.

14 Q And when you say "decided to warn the person 15 instead," is it your understanding that that 16 discussion about whether to warn or to bar would 17 be decided between service providers and BCLC?

18AYes. Not just at my level. I'm sure that Doug19Morrison and Gord Friesen were also involved in20that decision.

21 Q All right. Is it fair to say your understanding 22 at the time was that Duff was opposed to the 23 barrings you were proposing because of revenue 24 concerns?

25 A I just missed who was opposed, but I agree with

1		your statement, but I don't know who you're
2		referring to.
3	Q	Well, I'll clarify. It was Mr. Duff I was
4		speaking of.
5	A	Yes. He would have been opposed to that, yes,
6		for revenue purposes, for sure.
7	Q	You mentioned in response to my question,
8		Mr. Hiller, that you eventually agreed with
9		respect to this patron. I take it from your
10		answer that you didn't agree with the general
11		proposition that LCT patrons should not be
12		barred?
13	A	No, I think I came about and did agree with
14		that. After all the discussions that we had, I
15		agreed that no, it should be the cash
16		facilitators or anybody working with the cash
17		facilitators but not the VIP player who was
18		receiving the cash. But I did believe it was
19		appropriate to let him know my viewpoint in a
20		warning on this particular occasion.
21	Q	Okay. We'll turn now in your notebook,
22		Mr. Hiller, to page 83. Pardon me. Let's start
23		at page 82.
24	A	Yes, I'm looking at that note and in the top
25		right corner it's dated November 14, 2009.

1	Q	Yes. And I'm interested in the November 16th,
2		2009 entry, which starts at 9:00 a.m.
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	You'll see there that you've written:
5		"Rick Duff GM into our office. I asked
6		him about last week's request for a
7		meeting with [River Rock Casino] managers
8		[regarding a] lack of reporting
9		[suspicious] activity/properly warning LCT
10		player so they do not continually deal
11		with loan sharks.
12		I [advised] I will proceed with a
13		BCLC barring against"
14		And you'll see that's the same patron you were
15		discussing earlier.
16		" unless me and RRCR managers come up
17		with a more effective means of telling him
18		his actions cannot continue."
19		Over on the next page you note that:
20		"Rick Duff is away next week in China. He
21		advised he will not include RRCR managers
22		in such a meeting. Instead he would like
23		the GCGC directors and himself to meet
24		with BCLC managers/director."
25		And you agreed to try to arrange that meeting

1		for Thursday at BCLC.
2		And you note that:
3		"Rick Duff's main point is that we cannot
4		BCLC bar [this patron] because dealing
5		with loan sharks is not an offence and not
6		on contained in any policy."
7		You reminded him that this patron's play
8		"doesn't comply with FINTRAC reporting
9		requirements." And then:
10		"Duff said our intended actions will only
11		send LCT players elsewhere."
12		And you mentioned you need to work towards a
13		balance of revenue in suspicious transaction
14		reporting.
15		Do you see that there?
16	A	I do see that, and this is the point I made
17		earlier that I eventually did agree with
18		Mr. Duff on this, that we shouldn't proceed with
19		barring. Whether that was just my decision, but
20		it certainly would have involved the manager and
21		the Assistant Manager as well. It would have
22		been certainly that would have been the
23		conclusion that was we came to at some point.
24	Q	And you came to that conclusion even though
		you've mentioned in your notes that that

1		particular player's play didn't comply with
2		FINTRAC reporting requirements?
3	А	Yeah, I guess I worded that in a in not such
4		an effective way. What I meant there was all
5		the actions of this large cash transaction
6		all the large cash transactions involving this
7		player led to several Suspicious Transaction
8		Reports. And so when I say didn't comply with
9		the guidelines, I meant that it led to a lot of
10		suspicious activity.
11	Q	All right. So if I understand your evidence
12		about the 2009 notes, Mr. Hiller, you say that
13		eventually you came around and you agreed with
14		Mr. Duff about his view of barring LCT patrons;
15		is that right?
16	А	That's correct. And further along in my notes
17		I'm not sure what the date is, but there
18		would be a notation where I, through a
19		translator, spoke to this particular VIP player
20		and warned him about the activities.
21	Q	After your initial assignment
22	MS.	HARLINGTEN: I'm finished with that particular
23		notebook.
24		Mr. Commissioner, may we have that notebook
25		marked the next exhibit, please.

1 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Very well. That will be 2 exhibit 167. THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 167. 3 4 EXHIBIT 167: Copy of Mike Hiller's notebook dated June 1, 2009, to June 16, 2010 5 MS. HARLINGTEN: Thank you. 6 7 0 And I'll just return now to my question. 8 Apologies for that, Mr. Hiller. 9 After your initial assignment to the River 10 Rock, you were an investigator at the Starlight 11 until 2014; is that right? 12 А Yes. Somewhere, I'll say, from March 2011 until 13 March 2014. 14 And so you returned to the River Rock in March 0 of 2014? 15 16 А Correct. 17 And stayed there until September of 2014? Q 18 А Correct. 19 And while you were at the River Rock at that Q 20 time you continued to investigate large cash 21 transactions? 22 А Yes. It was the busiest time for investigating 23 those type of incidents. 24 And you continued to recommend BCLC barrings to 0 deal with those issues? 25

1	A Not large cash transactions, but certainly in
2	relation to any cash facilitator, yes.
3	Q I would like to take you now to BCLC document
4	0004198.
5	MS. HARLINGTEN: Madam Registrar, that's quite a
6	lengthy document. It contains a number of
7	documents that I don't intend to take the
8	witness to. I'm specifically interested in
9	pages 106 to 108.
10	THE WITNESS: I'm looking at a document not the
11	same one. I'm looking at the document at tab 2
12	that the "River Rock Casino Resort" at the
13	top and dated June 9th, 2017.
14	MS. HARLINGTEN: Yes. So it's quite a lengthy
15	document. So if you turn all the way almost to
16	the end, please, Mr. Hiller, you should find
17	BCLC0004198.107. That's where I'll start.
18	MR. SMART: Can you say the numbers again.
19	MS. HARLINGTEN: Of course, Mr. Smart. 0004198.107.
20	MR. SMART: Thank you. Have you found that, Mike?
21	THE WITNESS: Yes, .107. I think the title at the
22	top is an email to myself from and copied to
23	the other investigators at the to other
24	investigators. I'm not sure the date. It's in
25	August of 2014?

Michael Hiller (for the commission) Exam by Ms. Harlingten

1 MS. HARLINGTEN: 2 Yes. And so let's start with the email that you 0 3 sent. You'll see there that you sent it on 4 Monday, August 18th, 2014, just before 9:00 a.m. 5 in the morning. Yes. 6 Α And August 2014 would have been while you were 7 Ο 8 still assigned to the River Rock Casino? Yes, that's correct. 9 А And so you say here in your subject line it's 10 Ο 11 about a particular patron "buy-ins with no 12 play." You say: 13 "Good morning, Jim." 14 I understand you're writing to Jim Wall, who was 15 the General Manager at the River Rock? 16 А Yes. You list there three names, and then you say 17 Q all: 18 "... have all been involved together with 19 20 major buy-ins with no play. The common 21 factor in the incidents is that they are 22 taking the chips back to hotel rooms on 23 site. The money and chips have been 24 carried back and forth in a backpack." 25 You name one person, who "is the prominent

1 offender" and the other "seems to be his 2 assistant," and the third "was involved in one 3 of the buy-ins, but also seems to be involved in 4 some manner with everything that is happening." "The 4 buy-ins now total \$840,270.00 with 5 6 no play." 7 And you note the incident numbers there. Then 8 you say: 9 "This activity cannot be tolerated and I'm 10 surprised that casino management has not 11 taken any action to date, or at least 12 talked to [the one patron]. I am inclined 13 to proceed with a 14-day BCLC barring for 14 all 3 of them until I can put all the 15 details together for a more substantial 16 BCLC barring." 17 And then you ask one of them to come by to discuss. Do you see that there? 18 19 Yes, I do. А 20 And so you've just indicated that you no longer Q 21 considered barring for LCT patrons to be a 22 particularly useful to approach, but that 23 doesn't seem to be true in this particular 24 email, does it? 25 It certainly doesn't. And the reason for that А

is that they were buying a substantial amount of
 chips and there was no play involved, and they
 were taking it to a hotel room at the River Rock
 Casino.

5 I was aware from previous incidents not involving these three individuals per se, but I 6 7 was certainly knowledgeable of previous 8 incidents where the hotel room, a hotel room on 9 different occasions was being used by VIP 10 players, and they were being supplied with chips 11 once they visited the hotel room and then 12 returned to the gaming floor.

13 That's very similar to VIP players 14 obtaining chips while they go to the washroom 15 with a cash or chip facilitator. So what I was 16 afraid of -- and remember that I state here that 17 I'm going to do a 14-day barring for the purpose 18 of further investigation. That's a pretty 19 standard thing for us to do as a BCLC 20 investigator is to sort of stop the action of 21 these buy-ins with no play and the fact that 22 they're taking it to the rooms so we can further 23 investigate and determine whether there should 24 be a provincial barring.

25 Now, buying that quantity of chips and not

1	being involved in play, I was suspecting at that
2	point that these players might be supplying
3	chips to other VIP players. I wasn't certain,
4	but I based on the circumstances, that was my
5	belief at that point.
6	Q Yes, I see that from your email. You'll note
7	MS. HARLINGTEN: I should stop there.
8	Mr. Commissioner, I've been advised that I'm
9	out of time. I would just kindly ask for
10	another five minutes to finish this line of
11	questioning.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you,
13	Mr. Harlingten. You have another five minutes.
14	MS. HARLINGTEN: Thank you very much,
15	Mr. Commissioner.
16	Q So you had sent this email to Jim wall,
17	Mr. Hiller, and I see he responded to you
18	directly the same day at 3:30 p.m. And he says
19	to you I'm sorry. Do you see that there,
20	Mr. Hiller?
21	A Yes, I do.
22	Q So he says:
23	"I feel compelled to respond to a portion
24	of your email that, frankly, I find to be
25	somewhat disrespectful.

1 The reason why casino management has 2 not taken 'any action to date' is, as you 3 should be aware, we do not have access to 4 any reports regarding these incidents. 5 They are all listed as SFTs/UFTs and 6 Operations does not have access to these files. That said, I have confirmed with 7 8 Surveillance that all of these incidents 9 were reported to BCLC/GPEB. We have 10 reported these incidents as required." 11 He goes on to discuss what management and 12 surveillance is doing, but concludes just by 13 saying: 14 "I think [River Rock] has done a 15 tremendous job of reporting and investigating incidents, especially 16 17 considering the volume of play we have here. 18 19 I can emphatically say that should 20 this be determined to be illegal activity, 21 [River Rock] is in full support of a BCLC 22 barring, as we have been in similar 23 incidents in the past." 24 And I suppose my question for you, Mr. Hiller, is coming off of the 2009 notebooks, would you 25

- agree with me that this indicates a pattern of
 service providers disputing barrings for large
 cash transaction patrons?
- 4 А Yes, I do agree with you on that point. And I 5 just want to add that what I was proposing was a 14-day barring. It didn't -- it wasn't 6 7 initiated, but I was proposing that 14-day barring may be set. But I was also asking for 8 discussion on this so I could better understand 9 10 the circumstances of three players buying chips with no play and taking them to a hotel room. 11 12 The potential was with that quantity of chips 13 that they could facilitate other players. 14 Yes. And it seems -- is it fair to say from 0 15 Mr. Wall's response that he didn't consider your 16 concerns sufficient to warrant that kind of 17 response from BCLC, that is a barring? 18 I would agree with you on that point, yes. Α 19 MS. HARLINGTEN: Taking into consideration that I'm 20 already over my time, Mr. Commissioner, I'd just 21 like to mark that document as an exhibit. 22 THE COMMISSIONER: Very well. That will be

23 exhibit 168.

24 THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 168.

25 MS. HARLINGTEN: Thank you. And Madam Registrar,

1 just pages 106 to 108 of that document is fine, 2 but I'm in your hands as to what would be 3 easiest. 4 THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah. No, I think if that's all 5 you've referred to, let's leave it at that, 106 to 108. 6 MS. HARLINGTEN: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. 7 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you. 8 EXHIBIT 168: Series of emails between Mike 9 Hiller and Jim Wall dated August 18, 2014 10 11 (pages 106 to 108) 12 MS. HARLINGTEN: I just have one last question for 13 the witness and then I will be finished. 14 Mr. Hiller, at paragraph 46 of your affidavit 0 15 you reference that GPEB investigators have 16 Special Provincial Constable status. Do you recall that? 17 18 Yes, I see that there. А 19 Is it your understanding, Mr. Hiller, that Q 20 Special Provincial Constables have more limited 21 powers than perhaps an RCMP member would have? 22 Α Did you say more limited powers? 23 0 Yes, limited powers. 24 Limited powers as compared to the RCMP. Yes, А 25 correct.

1 MS. HARLINGTEN: Thank you, Mr. Hiller, for answering 2 my questions. 3 Those are all my questions, Mr. Commissioner. 4 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Ms. Harlingten. I'll now turn to Ms. Harmer on behalf of the 5 Great Canadian Gaming Corporation who's been 6 allocated 15 minutes. 7 8 MS. HARMER: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. EXAMINATION BY MS. HARMER: 9 Mr. Hiller, I'm counsel for Great Canadian 10 0 11 Gaming Corporation. My name is Melanie Harmer. 12 Can you hear me okay? 13 Yes. А 14 If at any time you have difficulty hearing me, 0 15 please let me know. 16 Yes. А Mr. Hiller, in your affidavit you describe in 17 Q 18 some detail how large and suspicious 19 transactions are brought to the attention of 20 BCLC investigators. And you base this on your 21 time at River Rock and Starlight. Do you recall 22 that? 23 А Yes, I recall that. 24 And your affidavit explains that one of the ways Q

the transactions are brought to the attention of

- BCLC investigators is by reports in iTrak; is that correct?
- 3 A Yes, it is.
- 4 Q I understand that there's two types of reports 5 that are filed in iTrak. One is a suspicious cash transaction, which later became called an 6 7 unusual financial transaction, so the acronym 8 that were used for SCTs and then UFTs. And there's a second type of report called a large 9 cash transaction or an LCT. Do I understand 10 11 that correctly?
- 12 A Yes. They are distinctly different, yes.
- Q And your affidavit also mentions other
 documentation that's reporting outside of iTrak.
 Can you describe for the Commissioner what you
 mean by that.
- 17 A Can you remind me what paragraph you're looking 18 at.
- 19 Q Yes, certainly. I'm around paragraphs 12 and 14
 20 of your affidavit.
- A Yes, I see where I say in my affidavit that I
 would --

23 "Although occasionally we learned of
24 incidents in other ways, such as through
25 direct observation, review of other

1		types of documentation and reporting
2		outside of iTrak, and conversations with
3		Service Provider staff."
4		Yes.
5	Q	And can you describe for the Commissioner what
6		other type of documentation your referring to
7		there.
8	А	It was definitely outside of iTrak, so it could
9		have been a police request for assistance where
10		they described circumstances. Other
11		documentation relating to large cash
12		transactions might be a document prepared by the
13		service provider cage people that noted the size
14		of and amount of denominations that were used
15		for a buy-in. Those are examples.
16	Q	And do you recall what those documents created
17		by the cage staff were called?
18	A	No, I don't. But I used them to confirm the
19		denominations and amount of the buy-in
20		sometimes.
21	Q	Does a drop sheet or a cashier drop buy-in
22		tracking sheet sound familiar?
23	A	It sounds like a good possibility, yes.
24	Q	And you mentioned that those sheets set out the
25		denominations of the buy-ins?

1	A	Denominations and the number of bills in that
2		denomination, yes.
3	Q	And was that done for each patron who made a
4		buy-in at a cash cage?
5	А	I'm not sure of that. I would suspect so, but
6		I'm not sure. Depends on, I guess, the size of
7		the buy-in, maybe. I'm not sure.
8	Q	Is it fair to say that it was done where there
9		was a large cash buy-in?
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	And you know those were done because you
12		reviewed those?
13	А	Yes, I reviewed those on occasion when I wanted
14		to confirm the number of bills.
15	Q	And so BCLC investigators had access to the
16		cashier drop buy-in sheets, or
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	And are you aware of a form called a cashier
19		master cash-out log?
20	А	I don't recall that form now any longer. I'd
21		have to see it to see if I remember it.
22	Q	Fair enough. It was quite some time ago.
23		Going back to the drop sheets or the
24		cashier drop buy-in tracking sheets, do you know
25		how often investigators reviewed these?

1	A	I can say from my experience, I didn't review
2		them on all transactions. In fact I reviewed
3		them on only those transactions where I was
4		unsure from my video review or the video footage
5		saved of the exact number of bills and the
6		denominations. So I would say that it was a low
7		percentage for me to review that document. I
8		know that the other investigators at River Rock
9		used that document more often than I did, but I
10		can't say how often.
11	Q	And they were made available to BCLC
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	investigators?
14	A	Yes. Somebody dropped them off at our office.
15		I can't remember if it was daily or weekly.
16		Yes.
17	Q	I'm going to suggest there was a practice that
18		it was dropped off at your office daily. Do you
19		recall that?
20	A	Like I say, I don't recall if it was daily or
21		weekly, but I suspect that you are correct that
22		it was daily.
23	Q	You also mentioned reviewing surveillance video.
24		What were you able to see on that surveillance
25		video specifically of the cash cage?

1 Well, I could -- when I reviewed video footage А 2 at the cash cage, lots of times it was in the 3 private cash cage for larger buy-ins. I would 4 see the patron, the player come in, empty 5 whatever receptacle he or she used to bring in that cash buy-in, empty it on the counter in 6 7 front of the cage, stack it up there, and then I -- so I could see the denominations and how 8 those bills were bundled. 9 10 And then I would review the cashier taking 11 each bundle, taking off the elastics, putting 12 them through a counter, and most often I 13 wouldn't sit and review the total amount as they 14 go through the counter; I would look for the 15 total amount that would show up on the screen on

16 the counter, that sort of thing.

17 Q But you were able to see the denominations. You18 were quite clear about that?

19 A Yes, for sure. Yes.

20 Q And it seems to me that if a River Rock staff 21 member for some reason missed filing an unusual 22 financial transaction report in iTrak, that BCLC 23 investigators would still have information 24 available to them that would show the size of 25 the buy-in and the breakdown of the Michael Hiller (for the commission) Exam by Ms. Harmer

1 denominations. Is that fair? That's fair. However, you know, it was a 2 А 3 busy -- especially 2014. It was busy enough 4 reviewing the iTrak reports that were submitted 5 by surveillance for us to review. It would be hard to -- hard to find the time to start 6 7 reviewing everybody's large cash transaction and 8 also that report. So it could get missed if we didn't review those reports or didn't review all 9 the large cash transactions. So we relied 10 11 mostly on the surveillance to initiate an 12 incident report for our review. 13 Fair enough. I understand it was a busy time. Q

14 But it's fair to say that BCLC investigators had 15 the information from the large cash transactions 16 and the buy-in sheets and the surveillance if 17 they found the time to review them?

18 A Yes, you are correct.

19 Q Mr. Hiller, in your affidavit -- and I'll let
20 you turn to this -- at paragraph 66.

A Yes, it's a paragraph at the top of page 18?
Q And can you read out that paragraph to me.
A "The buy-ins described above are the only
large buy-ins of which I am aware where
iTrak incident reports were not

1		appropriately filed by the Service
2		Provider."
3	Q	And the two incidents that you're referring to
4		in the paragraphs above that part of your
5		affidavit, those were incidents that were in
6		2009 and 2010?
7	A	Yes, that's correct. It refers to those dates.
8	Q	And were large cash transactions reports, so LCT
9		reports, were those filed by the service
10		provider in each of those instances?
11	A	Yes, large cash transaction reporting were filed
12		in each of those incidents.
13	Q	Mr. Hiller, you talk in your affidavit about
14		raising the issue of accepting large cash
15		buy-ins at meetings of BCL investigators, and
16		Mr. McGowan asked you some questions about that
17		this morning. Do you recall that?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	And were there any Great Canadian employees or
20		representatives at those meetings?
21	A	Remind me again. Which specific meetings are
22		you talking about?
23	Q	I'm referring specifically I understand there
24		were quite a few meetings. I'm referring
25		specifically to the BCLC investigator meetings.

1		You described meetings where people like
2		Mr. Friesen or Mr. Karlovcec were there and your
3		fellow investigators were at those meetings from
4		around the Lower Mainland. There were no Great
5		Canadian employees or representatives at those
6		meetings?
7	A	I don't not as a general rule, and I don't
8		recall any meeting which would be our monthly
9		BCLC investigators meeting were any service
10		provider staff attended. I doubt that that
11		happened.
12	Q	To your knowledge, Mr. Hiller, prior to the cash
13		conditions being imposed on specific players,
14		did BCLC ever direct service providers to refuse
15		large cash buy-ins?
16	A	I don't recall that they did, no.
17	Q	Mr. Hiller, you say in your affidavit that you
18		had some concerns about the volume of cash that
19		was coming in. But I think earlier today you
20		told Mr. McGowan that that was a theory of
21		yours.
22	А	A theory, a strong suspicion. Yes, something
23		along that line.
24	Q	And that your superiors at BCLC didn't consider
25		there to be enough evidence yet that your theory

1		was correct; is that fair?
2	A	I think that's fair.
3	Q	Or at least that was your understanding of their
4		position?
5	A	I agree.
6	Q	You were a liaison between BCLC and the police?
7	A	Yes, I was.
8	Q	And I would expect that you wouldn't have shared
9		all of the information that you got from the
10		police with service providers; is that correct?
11	A	That would be correct, yes.
12	Q	And if the police made a request for information
13		from of BCLC, would that be shared with
14		service providers on all occasions?
15	А	Not on all occasions. We had the capability
16		within iTrak to restrict the circumstances of
17		such a request to BCLC investigators and
18		managers and above, and so the service provider
19		staff would not see the report.
20	Q	And Mr. McGowan asked you some questions earlier
21		today about an incident report that you created
22		in 2014, and in that incident report you
23		documented information that you say you got from
24		a confidential source. And it was about
25		specifically about concerns about loan sharking

1 in casinos. Do you recall that? 2 А Yes, I do. 3 0 And is that the type of incident report that 4 would be shared with service providers? 5 Most certainly not. They were not privy to that А report. 6 So it's fair to say during this time period, so 7 Q 8 around 2014, there was information that BCLC had that service providers did not have? 9 10 А Yes, I believe so. Yes. 11 Mr. Hiller, my friend Ms. Harlingten just took Ο 12 you to your notebook that described some 13 incidents where you spoke with a former employer 14 [sic] of Great Canadian and also another manager 15 at River Rock. And I believe your evidence was 16 that these discussions with management at the 17 River Rock didn't change your decisions; is that fair? 18 19 А I thought in the end of all the discussions it 20 did change my viewpoint. You're talking about 21 the fact that I was proposing to bar people 22 involved in large cash transactions, or are you 23 talking about the particular incidents involving 24 buy-ins with large amounts of cash and no play 25 and the chips were going to the hotel room? I'm

1		not sure.
2	Q	Fair enough. My question might not have been as
3		clear as it could have been. I think your
4		evidence was that your viewpoint might have
5		changed. And perhaps this was a back and forth
6		between you and service providers where you each
7		shared information that you had; is that fair?
8	A	Yes, that's fair.
9	Q	But if you had made a decision and you'd barred
10		a player, you wouldn't have changed that
11		decision; is that fair?
12	A	If I made a decision to bar a person, yes, I
13		would proceed with the barring and seek approval
14		through my manager.
15	Q	Mr. Hiller, I just have a couple of last
16		questions for you here.
17		In your affidavit you describe your role as
18		a police liaison for BCLC and there are a number
19		of meetings that you describe in detail with
20		police, and at many of those meetings there were
21		Great Canadian employees, senior Great Canadian
22		employees that were present; is that correct?
23	A	Yes. Service provider employees, especially at
24		the manager or upper level were included
25		whenever possible, yes.

Michael Hiller (for the commission) Exam by Ms. Harmer Exam by Mr. Gruber

1	Q	Are you aware of any instances where Great
2		Canadian Gaming or its senior management staff
3		didn't cooperate in providing police with
4		information that was requested?
5	A	No, I'm not. I think they cooperated fully in
6		those respects.
7	MS. H	HARMER: Thank you, Mr. Hiller. I have no
8		further questions.
9	THE (COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Ms. Harmer.
10		Mr. Gruber on behalf of Gateway Casinos $\&$
11		Entertainment Limited has been allocated
12		10 minutes.
13	MR. (GRUBER: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner.
14	EXAM	INATION BY MR. GRUBER:
15	Q	Mr. Hiller, can you hear me?
16	А	Yes, I can.
17	Q	Very good. In your evidence earlier today you
18		
		talked about the expansion of VIP rooms, first
19		talked about the expansion of VIP rooms, first at the River Rock and then at the Starlight. Do
19 20		-
	A	at the River Rock and then at the Starlight. Do
20	A Q	at the River Rock and then at the Starlight. Do you recall that?
20 21		at the River Rock and then at the Starlight. Do you recall that? Yes, I do.
20 21 22		at the River Rock and then at the Starlight. Do you recall that? Yes, I do. You understood that the casinos are ultimately

1		tables and the slot machines are owned by BCLC.
2		I'm not sure about the property, though.
3	Q	Did you understand that ultimately the decision
4		of what tables there would be in a casino was
5		BCLC's decision?
6	A	Yes, for sure. I understand that completely.
7	Q	So the expansion of the VIP rooms was something
8		that BCLC decided to do; right?
9	A	I don't know if they solely decided to do that
10		or it was a joint decision, but I knew BCLC
11		played a major role in that type of decision,
12		yes.
13	Q	You on a couple of occasions earlier said that
14		you considered the VIP players who were making
15		the large cash buy-ins to be legitimate players
16		who were putting their money at risk and losing;
17		correct?
18	A	Yes, that's correct.
19	Q	And it was your suspicion that they were being
20		used as a vehicle by organized crime to launder
21		money. That was your suspicion?
22	A	Yes, that's correct. And that's my statement.
23	Q	Did you have a view as to whether or not these
24		legitimate VIP players knew that they were being
25		used as a vehicle by organized crime to launder

Michael Hiller (for the commission) Exam by Mr. Gruber

1		money?
2	A	I had no idea whether they knew or did not know.
3	Q	And I take it from that that you didn't make any
4		attempt to investigate whether they knew or they
5		did not?
6	A	Your voice is breaking up.
7	Q	Did you investigate whether or not they knew or
8		didn't know that they were being used as a
9		vector?
10	A	As I mentioned previously, I didn't speak to VIP
11		players about the source of their large cash
12		transactions, so I have no idea whether they
13		knew or not.
14	Q	Thank you. You moved to the Island in September
15		of 2014; correct?
16	A	That's correct. Yes.
17	Q	And in paragraph 6 of your affidavit you mention
18		three casinos on the Island at which you served
19		as an investigator?
20	A	Three? I think I mentioned more than three.
21		Initially it was Chances in Duncan, the Nanaimo
22		casino, the Chances in Port Alberni, Chances in
23		Courtenay and the Chances in Campbell River.
24	Q	Very good. Did any of those facilities have VIP
25		gaming rooms?

Michael Hiller (for the commission) Exam by Mr. Gruber Exam by Mr. McFee

1	A	No. Only one of those facilities had table
2		games, and that was the Nanaimo casino.
3	Q	I see. And so after February sorry, after
4		September of 2014, is it fair to say that you
5		did not regularly observe VIP gaming?
6	A	Not regularly, but as stated, I sometimes helped
7		in the incident reporting of suspicious
8		transactions that I helped in the Lower Mainland
9		casinos, such as Edgewater, Starlight or River
10		Rock. But it was infrequent assistance from me.
11	Q	And when you did assist in that regard, it was
12		by way of reviewing surveillance footage and
13		incident reports?
14	A	That's correct. I remotely reviewed the video
15		footage and reviewed the incident reports on
16		iTrak, yes.
17	MR.	GRUBER: Thank you, those are my questions.
18	THE	COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Gruber. Mr. McFee
19		on behalf of Mr. Lightbody.
20	EXAM	INATION BY MR. MCFEE:
21	Q	Mr. Hiller, are you able to hear me all right?
22	A	Yes, I can.
23	Q	Thank you. Now, you had 28 and a half years
24		with the RCMP before you joined BCLC?
25	А	Yes.

1	Q	And did you understand that your role when you
2		came to BCLC as a casino investigator was to
3		observe, record and report?
4	A	Yes, that pretty much sums it up.
5	Q	And in contrast to your previous life as an RCMP
6		officer, did you understand as a BCLC
7		investigator that you had any law enforcement
8		powers at all?
9	A	I understood that I did not have any law
10		enforcement powers as a BCLC investigator.
11	Q	And further did you understand that as a BCLC
12		investigator you didn't have any mandate to
13		investigate matters that occurred outside of the
14		casino properties?
15	A	Yes, I understood that as the case. Yes.
16	Q	And in response to Mr. McGowan's questions,
17		there was some reference to the interactions you
18		had with GPEB investigators. In your time as a
19		casino investigator how would you describe your
20		relationship with the GPEB investigators?
21	A	My relationship and my fellow BCLC's
22		investigators' relationships with fellow GPEB
23		investigators at that level, at the casino
24		level, was very good.
25	Q	And as a result of that, you were able to see

1 and observe what your GPEB, the GPEB 2 investigators were doing in the casino, what 3 their activities were? 4 А I very rarely saw that they had any activities 5 in the casino. I remember being involved with them once because a person had breached his 6 7 prohibition or -- prohibition so many times that 8 they decided to issue a provincial ticket of 9 some sort, so I observed on that occasion. But 10 very rarely would I see what the GPEB 11 investigators were doing on the floor. Most of 12 my contact with them was in our own office at 13 BCLC or possibly for coffee on a weekly basis in 14 the lobby.

- 15 Q So do I take it correctly from that answer that 16 you did not see the GPEB investigators on the 17 casino floor very often?
- 18 A I think they attended at least weekly, but I
 19 agree with that. I didn't see them on the floor
 20 very often.
- 21 Q Did you understand that the GPEB investigators 22 with their Special Constable status had a 23 broader mandate than you and the other BCLC 24 investigators to investigate the source of cash 25 that the patrons were bringing in?

Michael Hiller (for the commission) Exam by Mr. McFee

1	A	I understood that to be the case, yes.
2	Q	And despite that broader mandate, did you
3		observe the GPEB investigators taking any action
4		to follow up on the Suspicious Transaction
5		Reports and large cash transaction reports that
6		were being filed?
7	A	No, I didn't observe any action that they had
8		taken or I really wasn't aware of what action,
9		if any, they were taking.
10	Q	And when you saw the GPEB investigators at the
11		casinos, did you observe them speaking with
12		casino patrons?
13	A	No. Only on that one instance that I just
14		mentioned a few moments ago.
15	Q	And in your affidavit you describe the efforts
16		you made as BCLC's police liaison representative
17		to get law enforcement involved in investigating
18		suspicious or criminal activities that you
19		observed or at least suspected were observing
20		within casinos?
21	A	Yes, I made mention of that. Yes.
22	Q	And in your affidavit it seems that you made
23		quite extensive efforts over a number of years.
24		Would you agree with that?
25	A	I would agree with that, yes.

1	Q	And in your affidavit you describe in 2009
2		meeting with the staff sergeant of the
3		Integrated Proceeds of Crime Unit and his team
4		and describing the concerns that you had about
5		cash coming into casinos. Do you recall that?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	And do you recall what the reaction of the IPOC
8		staff sergeant was to the concerns you were
9		raising?
10	A	At that time I believe he was interested in the
11		possibility of investigating it further and at
12		that time I offered my assistance from the
13		casino perspective as much as I could.
14	Q	And despite that expression of interest to your
15		knowledge did anything concrete come out in
16		terms of an investigation being initiated by
17		IPOC or any other police unit?
18	A	No, I'm not aware of any investigation.
19	Q	And as I understood the tenor of your evidence,
20		when you when Mr. McGowan was taking you
21		through the Jonathan Manthorpe presentations,
22		you were unconvinced that one of the sources of
23		funds used for cash buy-ins by casino patrons
24		was suitcases of cash that Chinese nationals
25		were bringing to Canada through the Vancouver

Michael Hiller (for the commission) Exam by Mr. McFee

1 airport. Is that a fair summary? 2 That is a fair summary, yes. А 3 0 And you were sceptical in part because you'd 4 phoned a contact at Canada Border Services and 5 he told you that most of the money coming into Vancouver airport was in US denominations. Did 6 7 I understand that correctly? That's in part why I was sceptical, yes. 8 А Did you consider that Chinese nationals could 9 0 relatively easily convert US currency to 10 11 Canadian denominations at currency exchanges 12 when they came to Canada? 13 Yes, I was aware of that, but there was another А factor. I would find it hard to believe that 14 15 all these different individuals bringing money 16 by way of suitcases from China and then 17 converted it from US dollars to Canadian dollars 18 would show up at the cage with almost -- such 19 similar bundles of cash. Like, these are all 20 different people we're talking about, but 21 they're going to show up at the cage with 22 bundles of cash bundled very -- in a similar 23 fashion with elastic bands. It just didn't seem 24 to resonate as a good possibility from my point 25 of view.

1	Q	I appreciate that. But you did recognize that
2		the fact that money came in US denominations
3		didn't really stop people from converting it to
4		Canadian currency and bringing it to a casino;
5		correct?
6	A	Yes, I believe that is correct. But also with
7		my consultation with the Canadian Border
8		Services Agency officer the majority of cash
9		coming in in suitcases that were seized were
10		predominantly in a lower lower quantity, such
11		as, you know, 12-, \$15,000 per suitcase. The
12		money I was concerned about at that time coming
13		into the cage was more \$50,000 and above.
14	Q	Fair enough. And in your evidence you, in
15		response to Mr. McGowan, indicated that you
16		raised your concerns about the quantity and
17		volume of cash coming into BC casinos a number
18		of times in the monthly BCLC investigators
19		meetings?
20	A	Yeah, sorry, who did you say engaged
21	Q	You raised those concerns a number of times at
22		the monthly BCLC investigators meetings?
23	A	Initially, yes. Especially during 2009 and
24		2010. Yes.
25	Q	And the concern you raised was that these large

1		amounts of cash were possibly the proceeds of
2		crime; correct?
3	А	Probably more so that I said it was possibly
4		originating from persons involved in organized
5		crime.
6	Q	Right. But isn't that where we're at? You were
7		suspicious of the source of the patrons' cash
8		but you had no hard evidence?
9	A	I believe that's a fair assessment.
10	Q	And as a BCLC investigator, you had no authority
11		to conduct an investigation to actually acquire
12		hard evidence; correct?
13	A	Yes, you are correct.
14	Q	You were left in a situation where you had
15		suspicions but you had to rely on other
16		agencies, particularly GPEB and the police, to
17		conduct an investigation and amass hard
18		evidence; correct?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Now, from your observations at least, you didn't
21		see any investigations being conducted by GPEB
22		or the police despite you raising these concerns
23		<pre>frequently; correct?</pre>
24	A	Yes. I personally didn't see any
25		investigations. However, I know that the AML

1		team at BCLC did engage the police after I left
2		the Lower Mainland. Actually they engaged them
3		before I left, but I didn't see any results of
4		that until after I left.
5	Q	And in your police experience it seems you had
6		some unique experience in that you had a fair
7		bit of contact with investigations into Asia and
8		in fact were the RCMP liaison officer in
9		Bangkok, Thailand for three years?
10	A	Yes, that's correct.
11	Q	And you got to visit various countries in Asia
12		on a number of occasions as a result?
13	A	Yes. That as a result of my liaison position
14		in Bangkok, but more importantly as my in my
15		position as an investigator on the drug section
16		and as an investigator on the Asian intelligence
17		section criminal intelligence section of the
18		Asian probe team, I attended meetings in Hong
19		Kong, Beijing. So that was where I would have
20		gained more insight into what you're speaking
21		of. My position in Bangkok didn't relate all
22		that much.
23	Q	And as a result of those experience and visits,
24		you came to know that the Chinese economy was

25 largely cash based?

1	A	That's what I believed, yes, to some extent.
2	Q	And as you set out in your affidavit, for
3		cultural reasons you learned that many Chinese
4		nationals prefer to deal in cash?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	And further, I take it that you knew that a
7		possible legitimate source of cash was money
8		service businesses?
9	A	The possibility of being it being a
10		legitimate source of cash coming from money
11		service businesses existed. Also I believe that
12		the those money services businesses also
13		later, I understood, may be responsible for
14		supplying suspicious cash to players as well.
15	Q	Right. And you learned that or did you
16		learn I should ask you this. Did you learn
17		that as a result of those concerns BCLC did an
18		investigation of money service businesses and
19		then stopped accepting funds from money service
20		businesses?
21	A	I was only vaguely aware of it, but I was aware,
22		yes.
23	Q	And I took it from your evidence that the
24		patrons making large cash buy-ins were typically
25		wealthy Asian business people who appeared to

1		enjoy gambling when they were visiting
2		Vancouver?
3	A	That's correct.
4	Q	And from your experience as the with the
5		Vancouver RCMP Criminal Intelligence Section,
6		the Asian probe team and then when you were
7		travelling in Asia, I take it it didn't surprise
8		you that these wealthy businessmen would be
9		typically carrying and dealing in cash?
10	А	You said typically carrying cash?
11	Q	Yes. And transacting in cash.
12	А	No, it didn't surprise me. No. That's correct.
13		I just don't know whether you're referring to
14		carrying and dealing in cash in Canada or
15		carrying and dealing in cash in China.
16	Q	In both instances. The cash was
17	A	Well, I had no I had no instance to ever
18		observe them carrying cash in China, but I
19		assume that's possible.
20	Q	And from your experience and knowledge in Asia
21		were you also aware that underground banking
22		networks are prevalent in Asia?
23	A	Yes, very much so. I was aware.
24	Q	Just to be clear so that we're talking about the
25		same thing, underground banking networks being

1 unregistered pools of capital without any 2 storefront presence like a bank or a credit 3 union? 4 А That's correct, yes. And from your considerable experience in Asia, 5 0 were you aware that many underground bankers 6 used sources of cash to facilitate financial 7 8 transactions without resorting to proceeds of 9 crime? Likely in my experience I really didn't come 10 А across that, but I certainly believed that to be 11 12 a true statement. 13 And you told us when you joined BCLC that there Q 14 was a policy it seemed, unwritten, I take it, 15 that BCLC investigators weren't supposed to 16 approach patrons. 17 А Weren't supposed to approach VIP players about 18 their large cash buy-ins. 19 Right. And what did you understand, if you had Q 20 an understanding, was the rationale for that 21 unwritten policy? 22 А I think my basic understanding of the rationale 23 was so that we wouldn't interfere with the 24 revenue coming in through these VIP players, and 25 you know, we didn't want to appear to have a

1		police-like presence in VIP rooms. I'll put it
2		that way.
3	Q	And I understood from your affidavit that that
4		rationale was conveyed to you by a service
5		provider General Manager; is that accurate?
6	A	Yes, that's correct.
7	Q	And did you agree with that rationale?
8	A	I did, yes.
9	Q	And in your time at BCLC did you learn that Jim
10		Lightbody was appointed BCLC's Vice President of
11		Casinos and Community Gaming in 2011?
12	A	I knew that he held that position. I just
13		wasn't aware of what dates or what year that
14		occurred.
15	Q	And did you become aware that Mr. Lightbody was
16		appointed interim CEO and president on
17		Mr. Graydon's departure in 2014?
18	A	Yes, I certainly knew that, yes.
19	Q	And in that period of time also you knew that
20		Mr. Brad Desmarais in February of 2013 became
21		BCLC's Vice President of Corporate Security and
22		Compliance?
23	A	Yes, I was aware of that.
24	Q	Do you recall that relatively soon thereafter in
25		October 2013 BCLC created a dedicated AML unit?

1 A Yes.

2	Q	And d	id you	view	that	as	a	positive	development?
3	A	I cer	tainly	did.					

- 4 Q And do you recall that in 2014 BCLC entered into 5 an information-sharing agreement with the RCMP?
- 6 A Yes, that was probably the second

information-sharing agreement that I was aware
of. There was one already in place much earlier
in 2009, but this was enhanced and probably a
better agreement.

- 11 Q And did you view that as a positive development?
 12 A I certainly did.
- 13 Q And do you recall that in -- commencing in April 14 of 2015 BCLC implemented the source of cash 15 conditions program?
- 16 A Yes, I was aware of that.

17 Q And did you view that as a positive development?18 A I certainly did.

19 Q In the summer of -- when that program was

- 20 implemented in the summer of 2005 into the fall
- 21 of 2015, do you recall that an integral
- 22 component of that program was BCLC investigators
- 23 were interviewing patrons to determine the
- 24 source of their funds?
- 25 A Yes. That was an initiative that came about

1		that year. Prior to that I wasn't aware of any
2		BCLC investigator approaching VIP players, but
3		that became the norm after that, yes.
4	Q	And did you view that as a positive development?
5	А	I did, yes.
6	Q	And you'll agree with me that all of those steps
7		occurred under Mr. Lightbody's leadership?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	And in your evidence you referred to a
10		presentation that Mr. Lightbody delivered, and I
11		take it it was March of 2015 to the BCLC annual
12		legal, investigative and compliance meeting?
13	А	Yes, correct. I did refer to that.
14	Q	Was that in Kamloops?
15	А	Yes, it was.
16	Q	Do you recall the context of those remarks? Did
17		Mr. Lightbody give opening remarks or closing
18		remarks or what the context was?
19	А	In preparation for today's testimony I have read
20		a couple of times the I'll call it his
21		opening remarks or his statement that day.
22	Q	Right. And when you say in preparation for
23		testimony, you're referring to Mr. Lightbody's
24		speaking notes that you've seen that have been
25		produced by BCLC?

Michael Hiller (for the commission) Exam by Mr. McFee

1 A Yes, that's correct. Yes.

2 Q And in fact they're attached to your affidavit 3 as an exhibit?

4 A Yes, they are.

5 Q And you noted that Mr. Lightbody spoke about 6 increased table revenue and expressed some pride 7 with the growing revenues. Is that an apt 8 characterization of your evidence?

9 A Yes.

10 Q And BCLC is a Crown corporation, obviously?
11 A Yes, it is.

12 Q And you understood that BCLC had a mandate to 13 earn revenue and contribute that revenue back 14 into health care, education and community 15 groups, including charities for the benefit of 16 British Columbians?

17 A I certainly understood that, yes.

18 Q And in that context, I take it that it didn't 19 surprise you that members of BCLC's executive 20 were pleased that BCLC was able to generate 21 revenue and fulfill that mandate?

A In that context, you're absolutely right. It
didn't surprise me that they were very happy
about that.

25 Q And although you were concerned that

1		Mr. Lightbody didn't make direct reference to
2		the increase in suspicion transaction report, do
3		you recall that in his remarks that
4		Mr. Lightbody stated that you and other members
5		of the legal, investigative and compliance teams
6		were providing the expertise and support to
7		ensure that BCLC's operations were legal and
8		compliant?
9	A	Could you refer me to the exact document so I
10		can review it.
11	Q	Go to your exhibit W, please. Are you with me?
12	A	Yes, I am. Sorry, what page are we on?
13	Q	If you go to the second page of that document,
14		which is 113 in the top right-hand corner,
15		please.
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	You'll see in the middle of the page you've got
18		a paragraph that reads:
19		"You do this by providing the expertise
20		and support to ensure that our operations
21		are legal and compliant, while fostering a
22		safe and trusted gambling environment that
23		protects players."
24		Have I read that correctly?
25	A	That is correct, and I do see that there, yes.

1	Q	And do you agree that that was stated by
2		Mr. Lightbody at this meeting?
3	А	Yes, I do agree with that.
4	Q	Do you see in the next paragraph that
5		Mr. Lightbody already also refers to the
6		information-sharing agreement that is now in
7		place with the RCMP?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	And that that allows BCLC to work proactively
10		with the RCMP to ban individuals from our
11		facilities that, if they've engaged in criminal
12		offences, are a reasonable threat to the public
13		or belong to organized crime associations? Do
14		you recall those remarks being made?
15	А	I certainly recall those remarks, and that was a
16		very good step forward, yes.
17	Q	And in fact as an investigator you were engaged
18		in a number of those steps, weren't you?
19	A	Yes, I was.
20	Q	And you see in the bottom paragraph:
21		"Another area where this team has made
22		progress is in our Anti-Money Laundering
23		tactics."
24		And you took that to be a reference to the
25		various steps that had been implemented that

1 we've just discussed? 2 Yes, exactly. Yes. Α 3 0 So although Mr. Lightbody didn't make direct 4 reference to the increase in Suspicious 5 Transaction Reports, as we've seen in these remarks, Mr. Lightbody was certainly making 6 reference to the need to address money 7 laundering and the anti-money laundering steps 8 that had been taken. Would you agree with that? 9 10 А Yes, I would agree with that until we get to the 11 sentence right at the bottom of the page where 12 he states: 13 "There are a lot of misconceptions out there." 14 15 And was there any discussion at this -- in Q Mr. Lightbody's remarks as to what 16 17 misconceptions he was referring to? 18 I don't recall now any longer whether there was А 19 an actual explanation of that particular 20 statement, but I know that his presentation in 21 his speaker notes that day and probably this 22 particular sentence and the fact that he did not 23 address the increased suspicious transactions 24 and the bags of money coming into casinos during 2014, the fact that he did not address that 25

1 specifically upset me and that's the reason I 2 spoke to Brad Desmarais, our VP, the next 3 morning. 4 MR. McFEE: Those are my questions for you. Thank 5 you. THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. McFee. 6 7 Mr. Smart, it appears as though your camera 8 has stopped functioning. MR. SMART: All right. Thank you. Do you want a 9 five-minute break, Mike. 10 11 Mr. Commissioner, can we have five minutes 12 before we continue? 13 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, you can. 14 MR. SMART: Thank you. THE COMMISSIONER: We'll stand down for five minutes. 15 16 THE REGISTRAR: This hearing is stood down for five 17 minutes until 12:41 p.m. (WITNESS STOOD DOWN) 18 19 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 12:36 P.M.) 20 (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED AT 12:40 P.M.) 21 MICHAEL HILLER, a 22 witness for the 23 commission, recalled. 2.4 THE REGISTRAR: Thank you for waiting. The hearing 25 is now resumed, Mr. Commissioner.

1 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Madam Registrar. 2 Yes. Next is Ms. Mainville on behalf of 3 Mr. Kroeker. 4 EXAMINATION BY MS. MAINVILLE: Hi, Mr. Hiller. You responded to a question 5 Q from Mr. McFee just now about having observed 6 GPEB investigators interacting with a patron in 7 8 respect of a prohibition on one occasion? Yes, I did. 9 А Q Could I take you to paragraph 47 of your 10 11 affidavit, please. 12 А Yes. It's at the bottom of the page, 12. 13 If you want to read it with me, there you Q 14 indicate you observe: "... GPEB investigators frequently spoke 15 16 to players when incidents involved 17 vandalism to slot machines or thefts of 18 slot machine tickets, wallets, purses, 19 casino chips, or cell phones." 20 And they would: 21 "... speak to patrons regarding other types of incidents, such as extensive 22 violations of their voluntary 23 24 self-exclusion agreements and possibly 25 violations of BCLC barrings."

1		Does that remain accurate?
2	A	Not so accurate in the wording "observed." I
3		guess what I meant was I observed reports or
4		such where they I knew that they interacted
5		with these patrons in regards to those offences.
6	Q	You were aware, through the reports at least,
7		that they frequently interacted with patrons?
8	A	Yes. Especially in relation to these type of
9		offences.
10	Q	Right. And indeed at paragraph 48 you go on to
11		say that you are not aware of any GPEB
12		investigators ever speaking to casino patrons
13		regarding a suspicious transaction; is that
14		correct?
15	A	That is correct. Yes.
16	Q	Did they ever convey to you any concerns they
17		had about interacting with patrons in that
18		regard, in respect of large cash buy-ins or
19		suspicious transactions?
20	A	No, I don't believe that was discussed, and I
21		can't imagine why it wouldn't have been, but I
22		don't believe I recall ever discussing that with
23		GPEB investigators.
24	Q	And I take it from an answer you gave to
25		commission counsel that you understood Rick

1		Duff's position or point regarding BCLC
2		investigators not speaking to patrons?
3	A	I certainly understood it and eventually agreed
4		with it wholeheartedly, yes.
5	Q	So do I take it you did not believe that BCLC
6		should have begun interviewing patrons earlier
7		on in time?
8	A	Yes, without specific direction from my direct
9		manager or specific direction from service
10		provider staff, I believed that we shouldn't
11		approach VIP players about their buy-ins. Yes.
12	Q	Was it your view that GPEB investigators ought
13		to have been the ones taking the lead in terms
14		of inquiries into source of funds?
15	A	Yes, that would be my viewpoint based on their
16		Special Constable status.
17	Q	Now, I believe you recognize that the cash
18		conditions program in 2015 was an important step
19		in terms of AMLs?
20	A	Yes, it was.
21	Q	And do you recall player interviews and cash
22		conditions accelerating toward the end of 2015?
23	A	Yes, I believe that they did certainly. Yes.
24	Q	And do you recall there was at that time in the
25		fall of 2015 a dramatic dip in cash or large

1		cash buy-ins at the River Rock, certainly?
2	A	Yes. That occurred, yes.
3	Q	So if I could take you to paragraph 37 of your
4		affidavit.
5	A	Yes, I'm there.
6	Q	And you talk there about meetings, and you're
7		referencing monthly investigator meetings where
8		you consistently raised your concerns about the
9		large amounts of suspicious cash. And you
10		indicate that in the later years you didn't
11		express your concerns as much because you felt
12		that management were well aware of your position
13		and did not like hearing from you on this
14		subject, and you felt this way because "while
15		they listened, they did not give me the
16		impression that they shared my opinion."
17		Does this paragraph apply to Mr. Kroeker or
18		does that predate him?
19	A	That predates him, and it also predates my
20		transfer to Vancouver Island. When I was on
21		Vancouver Island I had less involvement in this
22		sort of issue, so I would have voiced my opinion
23		less by then.
24	Q	You indicate you indicated in response to a
25		question by counsel to the province that

1		barrings had to be approved by management when
2		it came to cash facilitation. Do you recall
3		that? Or I think the question was put to you,
4		and you agreed.
5	A	Yeah, I agree. It was typically management that
6		approved such a barring.
7	Q	Can do you agree or do you recall, rather,
8		that there was a direction or an email to that
9		effect from Ross Alderson at a certain point in
10		time?
11	A	I don't recall such an email, but that would
12		make sense, yes.
13	Q	And do you recall that Mr. Kroeker rescinded
14		that in September 2015 when he became VP of
15		Compliance such that investigators were able to
16		initiate barrings of their own initiative?
17	A	Well, investigators did initiate barrings on
18		their own initiative. I'm not quite clear
19		whether they're talking about barrings for minor
20		criminal offences, or are you talking about
21		barrings for cash facilitation?
22	Q	Cash facilitation. And so my question is that
23		management approval was not required as of
24		September 2015?
25	A	I don't recall that piece of correspondence, but

1		that's certainly possible because BCLC
2		investigators, as I stated earlier, the
3		experienced investigators did approve barring
4		requests. I just don't recall whether that also
5		applied to cash facilitation barring requests.
6	Q	And indeed in September 2015 you would have no
7		longer been an investigator in the Lower
8		Mainland; correct?
9	A	That is correct.
10	Q	So you may not have been aware of that
11		direction?
12	A	I may not have been, yes.
13	Q	Did you understand that prior to 2018, after
14		implementation of the directive relating to
15		Peter German's interim recommendation, that
16		player interviews and source of funds
17		declarations were being obtained on the basis of
18		what's referred to in the industry, I think, as
19		a risk-based model, which is pursuant to FINTRAC
20		guidelines? Did you have some of awareness
21		of that that was
22	A	Yes, I had some awareness of the risk-based
23		module, and I have viewed it. I can't recall
24		everything in it now.
25	Q	And do you understand that the change that came

1 about in 2018 was effectively that there was a 2 transition to a prescriptive model in respect of source of funds? 3 4 А There was a transition to what? 5 To a prescriptive model. So that a source of Q funds declaration had to be obtained 6 7 irrespective of perceived risk but in any case above \$10,000? 8 9 Yes. I was certainly aware that you needed А to -- the players had to present a -- some kind 10 11 of receipt of a cash withdrawal or some kind of 12 documentation of same. And it also had to be a 13 recent receipt. Within two days, I believe. 14 And do you understand that that was something 0 15 that BCLC adopted that was not included in the Peter German's recommendation? 16 That is my understanding, yes. We went above 17 А 18 and beyond Mr. German's recommendation. 19 And you indicated that you -- prior to the 2018 Q 20 changes to source of funds declaration that you 21 were still concerned because there were maybe 22 one to three incidents that could -- of cash 23 buy-ins, incidents of -- instances of cash 24 buy-ins, large cash buy-ins that could occur before BCLC effectively were notified of this 25

1		and were able to impose cash conditions?
2	A	Yeah. When I mention one to three transactions
3		I'm talking about per VIP player. It would take
4		a few transactions to for BCLC to notice the
5		suspicious nature of the transactions.
6	Q	And I'm going to suggest that service providers
7		were in fact to refuse any transaction where
8		they believed money laundering could be involved
9		at first instance, and that they did do so.
10		Does that refresh your memory in that regard?
11	А	I don't recall that, but you certainly could be
12		correct. Like I say, being on the island and
13		away from this type of work, I was more involved
14		in lottery investigations at this point and so
15		possibly I just didn't pay attention to that
16		fact.
17	Q	And are you aware that in fact Peter German's
18		recommendation made an allowance for two
19		transactions per new patron? Are you aware of
20		that?
21	А	I wasn't aware of that, no.
22	Q	That would be a problem from your perspective,
23		to allow a couple of transactions before
24		determining source of funds?
25	А	I'm not sure if it was a problem from my

1		perspective. I don't think the service provider
2		is going to shut down a cash buy-in by a player
3		without some sort of authority from BCLC.
4	Q	Well, are you aware that BCLC did not accept
5		that component of Mr. German's recommendation
6		because it exceeded its risk tolerance?
7	A	I wasn't aware, but that probably took place.
8		And like I previously stated, I was more I
9		wasn't as in tune with the situation as I would
10		be if I was in the Lower Mainland.
11	Q	Now, you reference in your affidavit the
12		creation of an iTrak report that you advised a
13		number of people about, and you said you did not
14		receive any feedback on the report.
15	А	Yes, I recall I recall that. Yes.
16	Q	Do you recall Mr. Kroeker being concerned about
17		proceeds of crime entering casinos?
18	A	Yes, I believe Mr. Kroeker was concerned about
19		that. Yes.
20	Q	And I just want to be clear. Your basis for
21		saying there's no indication that anyone
22		reviewed your report is because there were no
23		comments posted on iTrak; is that correct?
24	А	You're partly right. There were no comments
25		posted on iTrak, but certainly in the email

1		exchange between Brad Desmarais and myself, Brad
2		Desmarais did indicate that he had read this
3		before.
4	Q	And are you aware that Mr. Kroeker did not in
5		his position did not have direct access to
6		iTrak?
7	A	I wasn't aware of that until you just told me
8		that. I would expect that he would.
9	Q	You're aware that access to iTrak is restricted
10		to those who have an operational need to access
11		the system?
12	A	Yes. And I thought I just assumed
13		Mr. Kroeker would've had an operational need to
14		access the system.
15	Q	So if I tell you that he could request
16		information from it but could not manipulate it
17		directly, that was not something you were aware
18		of?
19	A	I certainly wasn't aware that that was the
20		situation.
21	Q	And you indicated that you much later in time
22		is when you would have told Mr. Kroeker about
23		this report. Do you recall about when?
24	A	I'm going to say it was within the last year and
25		a half or two years of my employment, so that

1		would have been likely 2018 or thereabouts.
2	Q	And the report was from the 2014; correct?
3	A	That's correct.
4	Q	And you're not aware of what followup he did
5		based on your discussion?
6	A	No, I'm not.
7	Q	Mr. Kroeker is the one who called you about
8		participating in Mr. German's review; correct?
9	A	Yes, that's true.
10	Q	And you let him know at that time that there
11		would be some adversity from your perspective in
12		respect of what you were going to say about
13		BCLC's handling of large cash transactions?
14	A	Yes, indeed I did state that to him.
15	Q	And I understand that Mr. Kroeker encouraged you
16		to speak with Mr. German's associate?
17	A	He both encouraged me and he just emphasized to
18		tell the truth.
19	Q	Do you recall asking him if you were required to
20		speak to Mr. Malysh?
21	A	I don't specifically remember asking him that.
22		I assume if they were asking to speak to me that
23		I was required.
24	Q	You don't recall Mr. Kroeker saying it was not
25		required but that it was important that you did

Michael Hiller (for the commission) 144 Exam by Ms. Mainville Exam by Mr. Butcher 1 speak with him and tell the truth? 2 А I don't recall now without referencing my notes, 3 but that's certainly a possibility, yes. That 4 would be it. 5 MS. MAINVILLE: Those are all my questions. Thank 6 you. 7 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Ms. Mainville. 8 Now Mr. Butcher on behalf of Mr. Desmarais MR. BUTCHER: Thank you very much, Mr. Commissioner. 9 Do you have me? 10 11 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. 12 EXAMINATION BY MR. BUTCHER: 13 Mr. Hiller, do you have me? Q 14 А Yes, I do. 15 Q Okay. MR. McGOWAN: Mr. Butcher, I'm just going to 16 17 interject to suggest you move your camera down 18 just a bit. Your head will be chopped off by 19 the nameplate at the bottom. 20 MR. BUTCHER: Is that better? 21 MR. McGOWAN: Thank you. 22 Mr. Hiller ... Mr. Hiller? 0 23 А Yes, I hear you. 24 Thank you. Mr. Hiller, as you know, I act for Q 25 Brad Desmarais and you've already told us that

1		he came along in February 2013 as the Vice
2		President of Corporate Security.
3	А	Yes, that's correct.
4	Q	And I'm going to ask you some questions about
5		what happened from that date until the time that
6		you moved to Courtenay, which was in September
7		2014.
8	A	Yes, okay.
9	Q	And I'm also going to ask you about the email
10		that's been referenced in March of 2015 after
11		Mr. Lightbody's presentation in Kamloops.
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	But before I do that, I want to ask you some
14		questions about how it was you first got to know
15		or know of Mr. Desmarais.
16		We know that you were an RCMP officer from
17		1980 to 2008. Is that correct?
18	А	That's correct.
19	Q	And between 1998 and about 2001 you were working
20		on the Asian crime heroin importation unit,
21		primarily?
22	A	That's correct, yes. And that was at Vancouver
23		headquarters and that was on the same floor as a
24		proceeds of crime unit.
25	Q	Right. That was at 5255 Heather Street in

1		Vancouver?
2	A	Correct. Yes.
3	Q	I understand that Mr. Desmarais also worked on
4		that floor in the IPOC unit during that time.
5	A	Yes, that would be the same period that I got to
6		know of him. Yes.
7	Q	But you and he never worked on a file together?
8	A	Not that I recall, no.
9	Q	Your investigations were mainly sophisticated
10		long-term importation investigations?
11	A	Yes, long-term importation investigations quite
12		frequently involving wiretap investigation.
13	Q	Wiretap, surveillance, undercover work, that
14		sort of thing?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Often take years to go from first tip to arrest
17		and prosecution?
18	A	On occasion it took two years, but sometimes
19		just one year.
20	Q	Those investigations would result sometimes in
21		seizures of large amounts of cash?
22	A	Occasionally. I don't recall a huge amount, but
23		yes, I do recall some.
24	Q	And it was from that experience that you had the
25		perspective of a drug investigator that cash and

1		drugs were interconnected; is that fair?
2	A	That's fair. It will have been more than that,
3		but yes.
4	Q	The only proceeds of crime training that you
5		received whilst you were in the RCMP was a
6		one-week course at the police college in Ottawa
7		in 1988?
8	A	Yes, that's correct.
9	Q	You knew Mr. Desmarais more by reputation than
10		anything else at that time?
11	A	Correct. Correct. Yes.
12	Q	And he had a reputation as being a knowledgeable
13		and diligent proceeds of crime investigator?
14	A	I would agree.
15	Q	And he certainly had a lot more proceeds of
16		crime and money laundering experience and
17		knowledge than you had?
18	А	Yes, I would agree.
19	Q	When Mr. Desmarais came to BCLC, you were
20		working at Starlight Casino?
21	A	Yes, I was.
22	Q	And you moved I think we've had this evidence
23		already. You moved back to River Rock for a
24		seven- or eight-month period in between
25		February or March 2014 till you went to

1		Courtenay in September; right?
2	A	Yes, that's correct.
3	Q	During that time, that's from 2013 February
4		2013 to September 2014, you would only have
5		occasional contact with Mr. Desmarais?
6	A	Yes, that's true.
7	Q	He worked in an entirely different place?
8	А	Yes. He worked at the BCLC headquarters in
9		Vancouver.
10	Q	You might have bumped into him from time to time
11		at Starlight or later at River Rock?
12	A	I don't recall in that time frame that he ever
13		was at Starlight or River Rock, but it may have
14		happened.
15	Q	Was he involved in encouraging you to move to
16		Courtenay?
17	А	No, not at all. Well, I mean, that decision was
18		mine. I don't recall him having any input into
19		that decision.
20	Q	Maybe I asked that question poorly. Do you
21		remember him mentioning to you that this
22		situation that had arisen, that there was
23		somebody retiring on the Island and that a
24		position was doing to be available at the same
25		time that you were going to be moving you

1 were moving to the Island, thinking of 2 retirement? 3 А Well, that position where somebody was about to 4 retire, that was what prompted me to think about 5 even moving to the Island and taking that position. I don't recall if I had a 6 7 conversation with Mr. Desmarais about that, but 8 certainly that person retiring -- up until that point I hadn't even given it a thought to move 9 to the Island. 10 Okay. Do you remember Mr. Desmarais being 11 0 12 supportive of you getting that position? 13 Oh, I think he was, yes. А 14 Like some of the other lawyers, I'm going to ask 0 15 you a question about paragraph 37 in your 16 affidavit. 17 А Yes, I happen to have it open in front of me 18 already. 19 You've been taken to this a couple of times, and Q 20 Ms. Mainville just asked you whether those 21 comments primarily related to the time before 22 her client, Mr. Kroeker, started working at 23 BCLC. But I take it that they really relate to 24 the period of 2008 and 2009. 25 I think you mean 2009, 2010 and 2011. А

1	Q	Yes, yes. Yes, you're correct. So really they
2		relate to the period before Mr. Desmarais
3		started working at BCLC as well?
4	А	Yes, that is true, although I think he was aware
5		of my concerns during his period as well. But
6		it wouldn't have been as a result of these
7		meetings, I don't believe.
8	Q	Now, you've been asked this several times. You
9		brought to your position at BCLC all of your
10		policing experience and the hunches and
11		suspicions that go with that experience; is that
12		fair?
13	A	I brought to BCLC many years of police
14		experience, yes. I don't know if I always want
15		to categorize it as hunches and suspicions.
16	Q	But the you've expressed several times your
17		thoughts that a lot of the cash that was coming
18		through the casinos was sourced from organized
19		crime?
20	A	Are you saying I suspected that it was coming
21		from organized crime?
22	Q	Yes.
23	A	That is correct, yes.
24	Q	And the problem was that you never had any
25		evidence of that.

1	A	I wouldn't say never. There were certain
2		players certain money facilitators that came
3		to the casino that I knew from my previous
4		experience in drug investigations and I knew
5		them to be high-level persons, and in fact I
6		eventually barred at least two of them. So I
7		wouldn't say never.
8	Q	Almost never?
9	А	Almost never. I agree with that.
10	Q	The problem was that linking your hunches and
11		suspicions to actual evidence would require
12		long-term investigations that BCLC wasn't
13		equipped to do?
14	А	I agree with that wholeheartedly, yes.
15	Q	You mentioned in your affidavit and you've been
16		asked a couple of questions about the Manthorpe
17		presentations. And I think you actually gave an
18		incorrect date when you referred to the first
19		one. I'm thinking it was probably around
20		February of 2013. Would that be
21	A	That is the correct date, February 2013. Yes.
22	Q	That was arranged by Mr. Friesen?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	And Mr. Manthorpe had significant insight into
25		the subject of capital flight from China?

151

Michael Hiller (for the commission) Exam by Mr. Butcher

1 A I believe he did, yes.

2	Q	And you don't take issue with his commentary or
3		knowledge; you just think that that capital
4		flight is not the only explanation for the
5		moneys that were being seen in the casinos?
6	A	That's correct. Specifically when he referred
7		to suitcases of money coming to the Vancouver
8		airport.
9	Q	I want to ask you a little bit about the liaison
10		with police agencies that you've referenced with
11		Duncan Gray. I take it that you took on that
12		role early in well, in 2009 when you arrived
13		at BCLC.
14	A	Yes. Very early. Probably within the first
15		month.
16	Q	And for a number of years that liaison was
17		conducted on an informal basis?
18	A	Yes, you're correct. Yes.
19	Q	You mentioned in your evidence at one point a
20		2009 agreement. What was that?
21	A	You're talking about the information-sharing
22		agreement between the RCMP and service
23		providers?
24	Q	Well, I'm aware of one in 2014, but was there
25		on you mentioned something about one in 2009.

152

1	A	Yes, I believe one existed even before I
2		started, and there was an agreement. It was
3		revised later in 2014, I believe.
4	Q	Did the 2014 agreement provide for much more
5		robust cooperation between BCLC and the RCMP?
6	A	I'm not sure that it provided for that, but it
7		clarified a lot of points, I think. Yes. I
8		would say that for sure.
9	Q	What were the points that it clarified?
10	A	When I say that, I know it was a lengthier
11		document, so it did clarify a number of points,
12		but I don't recall
13	Q	Fair to say
14	A	I don't recall without seeing the document
15		itself.
16	Q	Is it fair to say that it made the exchange of
17		information much more formal and much more
18		effective?
19	A	I would say so, yes.
20	Q	You've mentioned several times the benefit of
21		the AML unit.
22	A	Yes, I did.
23	Q	That was created in 2013?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	You're aware that Mr. Desmarais had a

1		substantial role in the creation of that unit
2		and in the development of the
3		information-sharing agreement in 2014 with the
4		RCMP?
5	A	Yes, totally aware that he was very much
6		involved in that, both of those things.
7	Q	Now, I'm going to suggest you've made mention
8		in your affidavit about a meeting with CFSEU in
9		June of 2014. Do you remember that?
10	A	Yes, I do remember that.
11	Q	Do you remember meeting with Mr. Desmarais at
12		the BCLC offices three days before that meeting
13		to prepare for it?
14	A	I remember there was preparation about three
15		days earlier. I'd have to refer to my notes
16		whether Mr. Desmarais was there, but if your
17		it seems likely.
18	MR.	BUTCHER: I'm new to this, so I don't know how we
19		pull up BCLC document 11943.
20	MR.	McGOWAN: I think you've just done it,
21		Mr. Butcher.
22		Madam Registrar.
23	MR.	BUTCHER: And specifically to page 14. Page 14.
24	THE	WITNESS: Yes, I'm at that spot in my notes now,
25		and I can confirm that Mr. Desmarais was at that

1 meeting. 2 MR. BUTCHER: 3 0 That meeting was, I'm going to suggest, the 4 fruits of the work that had been done in 2013 5 and 2014 to develop the anti-money laundering team to develop the further cooperation with the 6 7 police. You're quite possibly right, but my notes don't 8 А indicate to that extent. But I think I would 9 agree that that would have been discussed and 10 11 would have been part of it, yes. 12 0 And is it fair -- do you remember that at the 13 meeting with CFSEU BCLC provided them with a 14 number of names of potential targets for them? 15 I don't specifically remember now any longer, Α 16 but that would make sense. 17 And are you aware of CFSEU picking up on that Q 18 information and taking it and conducting 19 investigations? 20 А Yes, I was certainly made aware of that after 21 the meeting, after the meeting that we had with 22 CFSEU. And I'm not sure how much -- how many 23 months had transpired, but I was certainly aware 24 of it, yes. 25 So you would see that development as a very Q

1		positive development that followed
2		Mr. Desmarais's hiring?
3	A	I would say that development was the most
4		positive development that I experienced in that
5		time frame.
6	Q	Now, another initiative that was created by
7		Mr. Desmarais was a drive to qualify the
8		investigators as anti-money laundering
9		specialists. Do you remember that?
10	A	I certainly do, and I became qualified myself as
11		a result of that.
12	Q	In March of 2015?
13	A	That sounds to be the right date, yes.
14	Q	What anti-AML training had you had at BCLC
15		before 2013?
16	A	I don't recall specifically any such training
17		before 2015 at BCLC.
18	Q	You did also go on an investigators course at
19		JIBC for a week in December 2013 or hang on a
20		sec. Do you remember going off on a week-long
21		investigators course at JIBC?
22	A	At the Justice Institute. It certainly was a
23		one-week investigators course. I don't remember
24		the date.
25	Q	It was I'm going to suggest it was December

1		the 15th to 19th, 2014.
2	A	December 2014 I would have been on the Island.
3		I think it happened before then.
4	Q	Well, if we can go to the same book, page 86.
5		Do you see that?
6	A	Yes, you are correct. It occurred during the
7		week of December 15th to the 19th in 2014.
8	Q	So it's fair to say that there was a much
9		greater emphasis put on training and
10		professionalization of the investigators after
11		2013?
12	A	There certainly was, yes.
13	Q	Now, you've made some comments about the two Yak
14		articles that Mr. Desmarais wrote. Do you
15		remember that?
16	A	Yes, I did.
17	Q	You felt that those articles maybe understated
18		the involvement of organized crime and
19		overstated the potential legitimate sources of
20		cash in the casinos. Is that a fair summary of
21		it?
22	A	That's a fair summary, yes.
23	Q	And if I can take you to the first one of those.
24		That's at tab S to your affidavit.
~ -	_	

1	Q	You'll see at the bottom Mr. McGowan took you
2		through part of this document, but at the bottom
3		there's clearly reference to increasing
4		analytical capacity, getting to know the
5		customers and developing stringent anti-money
6		laundering programs? The last two or three
7		paragraphs at the bottom of the page.
8	A	Yes, I see that paragraph now, yes.
9	Q	This was written about three or four months
10		after Mr. Desmarais had arrived at BCLC?
11	А	Yes, that's correct.
12	Q	And you did not respond to this article at all?
13	А	No, I don't believe I did.
14	Q	The second article at tab T did trigger the
15		response that you set out, which is now
16		exhibited to your affidavit as exhibit U?
17	A	Yes, that's correct. It was the second article
18		that triggered the my response.
19	Q	And you have no knowledge one way or the other
20		whether that response ever made it back to
21		Mr. Desmarais?
22	A	No, I don't know whether it did or not.
23	Q	Now, the I get the impression that you were
24		also a little disappointed with the May 2015
25		presentation by Mr. Lightbody and that that

1		triggered some email a couple of emails
2		between yourself and Mr. Desmarais that are
3		found at exhibit M to your affidavit.
4	A	Yes. I'm looking at the email exchange and yes,
5		you are correct. The presentation by the CEO,
6		Mr. Lightbody, did was this email exchange
7		was a direct result of that.
8	Q	Now, would I be correct in saying that the
9		meeting that I referenced in June of 2015,
10		June the 16th, 2015, was the only time that you
11		actually met with Mr. Desmarais and discussed
12		matters of business, matters of importance?
13	A	Are you talking about the June 2014 meeting?
14	Q	The meeting before the CFSEU meeting. Yes, it
15		would be June 2014. Sorry.
16	A	Yeah, I infrequently had any kind of direct
17		involvement with Mr. Desmarais in meetings.
18		Certainly that June 2014 meeting is one that I
19		recall, and I likely didn't have any more, and
20		then I was until such time as I met with him
21		in March, referenced the email exchange here.
22	Q	And I have to tell you, I looked through your
23		notes for 2013 to the end of 2015, and didn't
24		find any other notes recording any meetings with
25		Mr. Desmarais other than the one in June of

Michael Hiller (for the commission) Exam by Mr. Butcher Exam by Ms. Tweedie

1 2014. So would it be fair to assume that you'd have made a note of any other meeting with him? 2 It would be fair to assume that. And I think 3 А 4 you are correct, I probably had not met him in 5 between those periods. And what about email exchanges? Is this email 6 0 at exhibit M to your affidavit the only email 7 8 you ever remember exchanging with him? 9 Yes, it's probably the only email that is a А 10 direct exchange between myself and him. 11 All in all fair to say that there was very 0 12 little communication between the two of you 13 while you both worked at BCLC? 14 I think that's a fair assessment, yes. А 15 MR. BUTCHER: Thank you. Those are my questions. 16 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Butcher. And now Ms. Tweedie on behalf of the BC 17 Civil Liberties Association has been allocated 18 19 five minutes. 20 MS. TWEEDIE: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. 21 EXAMINATION BY MS. TWEEDIE: 22 Good afternoon, Mr. Hiller. You have 0 23 significant experience with the illegal drug 24 market given your time in drug investigations 25 with the RCMP; that's correct?

160

1	A	Yes, it is.
2	Q	And you had you testified today that you had
3		a specific focus on heroin importation and Asian
4		drug trafficking?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	And as part of that role, you were involved in
7		investigating major heroin importations and
8		trafficking coming from Asian countries; is that
9		correct?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	As well as organized crime activity related to
12		drug trafficking in the Lower Mainland?
13	А	Well, in North America.
14	Q	Yes.
15	A	Because there were a common corridor of drugs
16		going between Toronto and Vancouver, New York
17		and Vancouver, that sort of thing.
18	Q	Okay. And given your experience you would agree
19		that the illegal drug market resulting from drug
20		prohibition is a major source of profitability
21		for organized crime?
22	A	Yes, I would agree.
23	Q	And you would agree that the illegal drug market
24		fuels social harm such as gang activity,
25		violence, corruption and death; correct?

1	A	Yes, that's certainly a possibility. Yes.
2	Q	And that significant police resources are
3		dedicated to combatting the illegal drug market
4		and its resulting harms?
5	A	Yes, there has been in the past and probably
6		currently. Yes.
7	Q	Is it fair to say that the cash you saw coming
8		into casinos that you viewed as suspicious, the
9		cash that you testified that you thought was
10		likely the proceeds of crime, is it fair to say
11		that this cash was likely generated by the
12		illicit drug trade?
13	A	That was my viewpoint, yes.
14	Q	And in your days of working as a drug
15		investigator you came to know certain figures in
16		Asian organized crime; is that correct?
17	A	Yes, I was very familiar with a great number of
18		persons involved in the drug trafficking and
19		organized crime rings.
20	Q	And to confirm, you would see some of these
21		figures in casinos?
22	А	On occasion. Not so often as one might expect,
23		but on occasion, yes.
24	Q	On occasion. And some of these figures you knew
25		to be involved in high-level heroin importation?

1 A Yes.

2	Q And you would report their presence to your
3	superiors?
4	A Not necessarily. You know, I did report them.
5	I think I mentioned earlier today about a
6	Vancouver Sun article in response to the
7	decision to rescind a provincial barring that I
8	had requested.
9	MS. TWEEDIE: Those are all my questions for you
10	today. Thank you.
11	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Ms. Tweedie.
13	Yes, Mr. Smart for BCLC.
14	MR. SMART: I'll just be brief
15	MR. McGOWAN: Mr. Smart Madam Registrar, I
16	understand that there may be an issue on the
17	live stream where the witness is not being shown
18	but there's an indication that a document's
19	being displayed. I don't have it before me, but
20	I've been passed a note.
21	IT SUPPORT: It's working.
22	MR. McGOWAN: Thank you.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr. Smart.
24	MR. SMART: Thank you. I'll be brief, Mr. Commissioner.

25 EXAMINATION BY MR. SMART:

1	Q	Mr. Hiller, you've in answer to questions
2		from the different lawyers you've told the
3		commission that the job of BCLC investigators in
4		the time period 2009 to 2014 when you were in
5		the Lower Mainland was with respect to the
6		suspicious cash transactions. The
7		responsibility was to observe, record and
8		report?
9	A	Yes, that's correct. Yes.
10	Q	And you've told the commission that you reported
11		those by way of suspicious cash transactions to
12		FINTRAC and reports to GPEB and reports to
13		various law enforcement agencies?
14	A	Yes, that's correct.
15	Q	And you understood it was their responsibility
16		to investigate the source of this cash and
17		determine in fact whether it was the proceeds of
18		crime?
19	A	Yes, that was my understanding.
20	Q	Yes. And in addition to reporting, in your
21		affidavit in your evidence you have told the
22		commission that you as a liaison between BCLC
23		and law enforcement actually reached out and
24		contacted law enforcement from time to time to
25		try to get them engaged in investigating these

1		suspicious cash transactions?
2	A	Yes, that's true.
3	Q	And in 2009 you even tried to engage your former
4		unit, the Asian crime unit to investigate?
5	A	The Asian probe team of the Criminal
6		Intelligence Section at Vancouver headquarters,
7		yes.
8	Q	And you tried I appreciate that in 2013
9		Mr. Tottenham took over your role as liaison
10		with police.
11	A	He took over my role as liaison in relation to
12		all AML matters, yes.
13	Q	Okay. But it sounds from your evidence you had
14		no evidence you had no indication between
15		2009 and 2014 that your efforts to engage law
16		enforcement were successful?
17	A	That's correct, I had no indication that it was
18		successful during that period.
19	Q	Were you ever given an explanation from any law
20		enforcement as to why?
21	A	I believe the explanation was a manpower issue.
22		There were other priorities at the time.
23	MR.	SMART: All right. Those are my questions,
24		Mr. Commissioner. Thank you.
25	THE	COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Smart.

1 Anything arising, Ms. Tweedie? 2 MS. TWEEDIE: No, thank you. THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Butcher? 3 4 MR. BUTCHER: Sorry. No, thank you. 5 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Ms. Mainville? MS. MAINVILLE: No, thank you, Mr. Commissioner. 6 THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. McFee? 7 8 MR. McFEE: Nothing arising, Mr. Commissioner. Thank 9 you. THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Gruber? 10 11 MR. GRUBER: Nothing arising. 12 THE COMMISSIONER: Ms. Harmer? 13 MS. HARMER: Nothing arising. Thank you. 14 THE COMMISSIONER: Ms. Harlingten? 15 MS. HARLINGTEN: The nothing arising, Mr. Commissioner. 16 thank you. THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Mr. McGowan? 17 18 MR. McGOWAN: No, I have nothing further, 19 Mr. Commissioner. 20 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Hiller. 21 You are excused from further testimony. 22 (WITNESS EXCUSED) 23 THE COMMISSIONER: I take it that's the evidence that 24 you anticipate calling today, Mr. McGowan; is 25 that correct?

MR. McGOWAN: That's correct. THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. We will adjourn, then, until tomorrow morning at 9:30. THE REGISTRAR: This hearing is adjourned until 9:30 a.m. on November 10th, 2020. Thank you. (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 1:32 P.M. TO NOVEMBER 10, 2020)